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Abstract—Oblate and prolate metallic spheroids located at the interface between isoreflective half-spaces are considered. The electromagnetic fields produced by electric and magnetic dipoles located on the symmetry axis of the structure are determined exactly. Particular cases are discussed, and numerical results are presented for far fields and surface currents.
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I. INTRODUCTION

SEVERAL boundary-value problems involving metallic structures, sometimes comprising sharp edges and cavities, and isoreflective media have recently been solved exactly in two and three dimensions [1],[2],[3],[4]. Numerical results based on these canonical solutions have been obtained and, for the structure considered in [1], have been compared to numerical solutions of integral-equation formulations of the same problem [5],[6],[7]. The excellent numerical agreements obtained establish the exact solutions as important benchmarks for the validation of frequency-domain computer codes.

In this paper, additional exact solutions are obtained for metallic oblate and prolate spheroids whose axis of symmetry is perpendicular to the planar interface that separates two isoreflective half-spaces and contains the center of symmetry of the spheroid. The primary source is an electric or magnetic dipole located on the axis of symmetry of the spheroid and axially oriented. The analysis is conducted in the frequency domain, and the time-dependent factor $\exp(-i\omega t)$ is omitted throughout. The exact electromagnetic field is found everywhere, and special attention is devoted to the far field and to the current density on the surface of the spheroid.

The geometry of the problem is illustrated in section II. The cases of the oblate and prolate spheroids are analyzed in Sections III and IV, respectively. Particular cases are briefly considered in Section V, and numerical results for surface currents and far fields are obtained, plotted and discussed in Section VI.

A detailed discussion of some practical applications of the results obtained in this paper is carried out in Section VII, where problems such as the buoy antenna and the ground-stake antenna are briefly examined.
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In the case of the oblate spheroid of Fig. 1, we introduce the oblate spheroidal coordinates \((\eta, \xi, \varphi)\), with focal distance \(d\), related to the rectangular coordinates \((x, y, z)\) by

\[
\begin{align*}
x &= \frac{d}{2} \sqrt{(\xi^2 - 1)(1 - \eta^2)} \cos \varphi \\
y &= \frac{d}{2} \sqrt{(\xi^2 - 1)(1 - \eta^2)} \sin \varphi \\
z &= \frac{d}{2} \xi \eta
\end{align*}
\]

(3)

where \(0 \leq \xi < \infty\), \(-1 \leq \eta \leq 1\), \(0 \leq \varphi \leq 2\pi\). The inverse transformation from rectangular coordinates to oblate spheroidal coordinates is given in [2].

The disk \(\xi = 0\) has diameter \(d\) and its edge is the focal circle of the oblate spheroidal coordinate system. The PEC oblate spheroid has surface \(\xi = \xi_1\), the positive (negative) \(z\)-axis corresponds to \(\eta = 1\) (\(\eta = -1\)), and the portion of the \(z = 0\) plane that is exterior to the disk \(\xi = 0\) corresponds to \(\eta = 0\). The surfaces \(\xi = \text{constant}\) are confocal oblate spheroids, the surfaces \(|\eta| = \text{constant}\) are confocal one-sheet hyperboloids of revolution, and the surfaces \(\varphi = \text{constant}\) are half-planes emanating from the \(z\)-axis.

In the case of the prolate spheroid of Fig. 2, we introduce the prolate spheroidal coordinates \((\eta, \xi, \varphi)\) related to the rectangular coordinates \((x, y, z)\) by

\[
\begin{align*}
x &= \frac{d}{2} \sqrt{(\xi^2 + 1)(1 - \eta^2)} \cos \varphi \\
y &= \frac{d}{2} \sqrt{(\xi^2 + 1)(1 - \eta^2)} \sin \varphi \\
z &= \frac{d}{2} \xi \eta
\end{align*}
\]

(4)

where \(1 \leq \xi \leq \infty\), \(-1 \leq \eta \leq 1\), \(0 \leq \varphi \leq 2\pi\). The inverse transformation from rectangular coordinates to prolate spheroidal coordinates is:

\[
\begin{align*}
\xi &= \frac{2}{d} \sqrt{(\eta^2 + 1)(1 - \eta^2)} + \sqrt{(\eta^2 + 1)(1 - \eta^2)}^2 - 16d^2 z^2 \\
\eta &= \frac{2z}{2d} \\
\varphi &= \arctan \frac{y}{x} \\
&= \text{constant, where } \eta^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2
\end{align*}
\]

The segment \(\xi = 1\) has length \(d\) and its end points \(z = \pm d/2\) are the foci of the prolate spheroidal coordinate system. The PEC prolate spheroid has surface \(\xi = \xi_1\), the portion of the \(z\)-axis exterior to the two foci corresponds to \(\eta = 1\) for \(z > d/2\) and to \(\eta = -1\) when \(z < -d/2\), and the interface \(z = 0\) to \(\eta = 0\). The surfaces \(\xi = \text{constant}\) are confocal prolate spheroids, the surfaces \(|\eta| = \text{constant}\) are confocal two-sheets hyperboloids of revolution, and the surfaces \(\varphi = \text{constant}\) are half-planes emanating from the \(z\)-axis.

For both oblate and prolate spheroids, the primary source is a Hertzian electric or magnetic dipole located at \((\xi = \xi_0 \geq \xi_1, \eta = \eta_0 = 1)\) on the positive \(z\)-axis in medium 1 and oriented parallel to the \(z\)-axis. In all cases, the symbol \(\xi_+\) (\(\xi_-\)) represents the larger (smaller) quantity between \(\xi\) and \(\xi_0\), and the parameter \(c\) is given by:

\[
c = kd = \frac{\pi d}{\lambda}
\]

(6)

where \(\lambda\) is the wavelength.

III. THE OBLATE SPHEROID

A. Electric Dipole Source

For an electric dipole at \((\xi_0, \eta_0 = 1)\) corresponding to an incident electric Hertz vector \(\hat{E} \exp(ikR)/R\), where \(R\) is the distance from the dipole to the observation point, the incident magnetic field is oriented in the \(\varphi\)-direction and its \(\varphi\)-component is given by [8]:

\[
\begin{align*}
H_{\varphi} &= \frac{2k^2 Y_1}{\sqrt{\eta_0^2 + 1}} \sum \infty \frac{(-i)^n}{\rho_1 n \bar{N}_{1 n}} \times \\
& \times \frac{R_{1 n}^{(1)}(-ic, i\xi) S_{1 n}^{(3)}(-ic, i\xi) S_{1 n}(-ic, \eta)}{1}
\end{align*}
\]

(7)

where \(R_{1 n}^{(1,3)}\) are radial oblate spheroidal functions of the first and third kind, respectively, and \(S_{1 n}\) are angular oblate spheroidal functions [9]. The quantities \(\tilde{\rho}_{1 n}\) and \(\bar{N}_{1 n}\) are the normalization factors for oblate radial and angular spheroidal functions, respectively, of order 1 and degree \(n\). Note that the summation in (7) starts from \(n = 1\), not \(n = 0\) as stated in [8].

The electric and magnetic fields \(\{E_1, H_1\}\) in medium 1 and \(\{E_2, H_2\}\) in medium 2 are:

\[
\begin{align*}
\{E_{1 h}, H_{1 h}\} &= \{ E_{1 h}\xi, E_{1 h}\eta \} \\
\{ E_{2 h}, H_{2 h}\} &= \{ H_{2 h}\xi, H_{2 h}\eta \}
\end{align*}
\]

(8)

where

\[
\begin{align*}
E_{1 h} &= \frac{iZ_h}{c} \sqrt{1 - \eta^2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} - \frac{\eta}{1 - \eta^2} \right) H_{1 h}\varphi \\
E_{2 h} &= \frac{iZ_h}{c} \sqrt{\xi^2 + 1} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\xi}{\xi^2 + 1} \right) H_{2 h}\varphi
\end{align*}
\]

(9-10)

The segment joining the two foci \(F_1\) and \(F_2\) corresponds to the line \(\xi = 1\).

---

Fig. 2. Cross-section of a metallic prolate spheroid corresponding to the surface \(\xi = \xi_1\) and located at the boundary between two isorefractive media.

The segment joining the two foci \(F_1\) and \(F_2\) corresponds to the line \(\xi = 1\).
The total magnetic field may be written as

\[ H_{1\varphi} = H_{1\varphi}^0 + H_{1\varphi}^\tau + H_{1\varphi}^s, \quad H_{2\varphi} = H_{2\varphi}^0 + H_{2\varphi}^\tau, \]  
\[ (11) \]

where

\[ H_{1\varphi}^\tau(\xi, \eta) = -R H_{1\varphi}(\xi, -\eta), \]
\[ H_{1\varphi}^s(\xi, \eta) = \zeta T H_{1\varphi}(\xi, \eta), \]  
\[ (12) \]
\[ (13) \]

are the fields reflected and transmitted at the interface \( z = 0 \) in the absence of the PEC spheroid. The electric-field reflection coefficient \( R \) and transmission coefficient \( T \) are given by

\[ R = \frac{1 - \zeta}{1 + \zeta}, \quad T = \frac{2}{1 + \zeta}, \]  
\[ (14) \]

where

\[ \zeta = \frac{Z_1}{Z_2}. \]  
\[ (15) \]

Consequently,

\[ H_{1\varphi}^\tau + H_{1\varphi}^s = \frac{2k^2Y_1}{\sqrt{\xi_0 + 1}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^n}{\hat{\rho}_{1,n}N_{1,n}} [1 + R(-1)^n] \times R^{(1)}_{1,n}(-ic, i\xi_0) \]
\[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^n a_{h,n} R^{(3)}_{1,n}(-ic, i\xi_0) \times R^{(3)}_{1,n}(-ic, i\xi_1) S_{1,n}(-ic, \eta)}{A_n(c, \xi_1)}, \]  
\[ (16) \]

The scattered fields \( H_{1\varphi}^s \) in medium 1 and \( H_{2\varphi}^s \) in medium 2 are the perturbations introduced by the presence of the spheroid, and must satisfy the radiation condition:

\[ H_{1\varphi}^s = \frac{2k^2Y_h}{\sqrt{\xi_0 + 1}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^n a_{h,n} R^{(3)}_{1,n}(-ic, i\xi_0) \times R^{(3)}_{1,n}(-ic, i\xi_1) S_{1,n}(-ic, \eta)}{A_n(c, \xi_1)}, \]  
\[ (17) \]

The modal coefficients \( a_{h,n} \) are found by imposing the boundary conditions on the surface of the spheroid and at the interface between the two media:

\[ a_{2,n} = \frac{T}{1 + R(-1)^n} a_{1,n} = \left. - \frac{T}{1 + R(-1)^n} \right|_{\xi = \xi_1} \]
\[ \frac{\xi_1 R^{(1)}_{1,n}(-ic, i\xi_1) + (\xi_1^2 - 1) R^{(1)}_{1,n}(-ic, i\xi_1)}{A_n(c, \xi_1)} \]  
\[ (18) \]

where

\[ A_n(c, \xi_1) = \xi_1 R^{(3)}_{1,n}(-ic, i\xi_1) + (\xi_1^2 - 1) R^{(3)}_{1,n}(-ic, i\xi_1) \]  
\[ (19) \]

and the prime means derivative with respect to \( \xi \). In particular,

\[ a_{1,1} = a_{2,1}, \quad a_{1,2} = a_{2,2}, \quad a_{1,2} + 1 = \zeta a_{2,2} + 1. \]  
\[ (20) \]

In considering the far field \( (\xi \to \infty) \), it is convenient to introduce spherical polar coordinates \((r, \theta, \varphi)\) related to the rectangular coordinates \((x, y, z)\) in the usual manner. Then

\[ (H_{1\varphi})_{\xi \to \infty} \sim e^{ikr} \frac{F_{1\varphi}^{(e)}(\theta)}{kr}, \]  
\[ (h = 1, 2), \]  
\[ (21) \]

where the far-field coefficients are given by:

\[ F_{1\varphi}^{(e)}(\theta) = \frac{-2i k^2 Y_1}{\sqrt{\xi_0 + 1}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{\hat{\rho}_{1,n}N_{1,n}} \left\{ [1 + R(-1)^n] \times R^{(1)}_{1,n}(-ic, i\xi_0) + a_{1,n} R^{(3)}_{1,n}(-ic, i\xi_0) \right\} S_{1,n}(-ic, \cos \theta), \]  
\[ (22) \]

\[ F_{2\varphi}^{(e)}(\theta) = \frac{-2i k^2 Y_1}{\sqrt{\xi_0 + 1}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{\hat{\rho}_{1,n}N_{1,n}} \left\{ \frac{T}{R^{(1)}_{1,n}(-ic, i\xi_0)} + a_{2,n} R^{(3)}_{1,n}(-ic, i\xi_0) \right\} S_{1,n}(-ic, \cos \theta), \]  
\[ (23) \]

note that (22) and (23) become identical when \( Z_1 = Z_2 \). If the dipole is on the spheroid \((\xi_0 = \xi_1)\), the far-field coefficients simplify to:

\[ [F_{1\varphi}^{(e)}(\theta)]_{\xi_0 = \xi_1} = \frac{2k^2 Y_1}{c r^{\xi_1 + 1} + 1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{\hat{\rho}_{1,n}N_{1,n} A_n(c, \xi_1)} S_{1,n}(-ic, \cos \theta), \]  
\[ (24) \]

\[ [F_{2\varphi}^{(e)}(\theta)]_{\xi_0 = \xi_1} = \frac{2k^2 Y_1 T}{c r^{\xi_1 + 1} + 1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{\hat{\rho}_{1,n}N_{1,n} A_n(c, \xi_1)} S_{1,n}(-ic, \cos \theta). \]  
\[ (25) \]

The surface current densities on the PEC spheroid are easily found from the total magnetic field tangent to the surface:

\[ (H_{1\varphi})_{\xi = \xi_1} = \frac{2i k^2 Y_1}{c r^{\xi_1 + 1} + 1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{\hat{\rho}_{1,n}N_{1,n} A_n(c, \xi_1)} \]
\[ \times R^{(1)}_{1,n}(-ic, i\xi_0) S_{1,n}(-ic, \eta), \]  
\[ (26) \]

\[ (H_{2\varphi})_{\xi = \xi_1} = \frac{2i k^2 Y_1 T}{c r^{\xi_1 + 1} + 1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{\hat{\rho}_{1,n}N_{1,n} A_n(c, \xi_1)} \]
\[ \times R^{(3)}_{1,n}(-ic, i\xi_0) S_{1,n}(-ic, \eta). \]  
\[ (27) \]

\[ B. \text{ Magnetic Dipole Source} \]

For a magnetic dipole at \((\xi_0, \eta_0) = 1\) corresponding to an incident magnetic Hertz vector \( \hat{z} \exp(ikR)/(kR) \), the incident electric field is oriented in the \( \varphi \)-direction and its \( \varphi \)-component is given by \([8]\):

\[ E_{\varphi}^i = \frac{-2k^2 Z_1}{1 + R(-1)^n} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{\hat{\rho}_{1,n}N_{1,n} A_n(c, \xi_1)} \]
\[ \times R^{(1)}_{1,n}(-ic, i\xi_0) S_{1,n}(-ic, \eta). \]  
\[ (28) \]

The electric and magnetic fields \(\{E_1, H_1\}\) in medium 1 and \(\{E_2, H_2\}\) in medium 2 are:

\[ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} E_{\varphi} = E_{\varphi}^i \varphi, \\ H_{h} = H_{h}(\xi, \eta) \hat{\xi} + H_{h}(\xi, \eta) \hat{\eta}, \end{array} \right. \]  
\[ (h = 1, 2), \]  
\[ (29) \]

where

\[ H_{h\xi} = \frac{i Y_h}{c} \left[ \frac{1 - \eta^2}{\xi^2 + \eta^2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} - \frac{\xi \eta}{1 - \eta^2} \right) E_{h\varphi} \right], \]  
\[ (30) \]

\[ H_{h\eta} = -\frac{i Y_h}{c} \left[ \frac{\xi^2 + \eta^2}{\xi^2 + \eta^2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} - \frac{\xi \eta}{\xi^2 + 1} \right) E_{h\varphi} \right]. \]  
\[ (31) \]

The total electric field may be written as

\[ E_{1\varphi} = E_{\varphi}^i + E_{\varphi}^r + E_{\varphi}^s, \quad E_{2\varphi} = E_{\varphi}^t + E_{2\varphi}^\varphi, \]  
\[ (32) \]

where

\[ E_{\varphi}^r(\xi, \eta) = R E_{\varphi}^i(\xi, -\eta), \]  
\[ (33) \]

\[ E_{\varphi}^t(\xi, \eta) = T E_{\varphi}^i(\xi, \eta). \]  
\[ (34) \]
are the fields reflected and transmitted at \( z = 0 \) in the absence of the spheroid. Consequently,

\[
E_{\varphi}^v + E_{\varphi}^t = \frac{-2k^2 Z_1}{\sqrt{c^2 - \xi^2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^n}{\rho_{1,n} N_{1,n}} \left[ 1 - R(-1)^n \right] \times R_{1,n}^{(1)}(-ic, i\xi_0) R_{1,n}^{(3)}(-ic, i\xi_0) S_{1,n}(-ic, \eta).
\]

(35)

The scattered fields \( E_{\varphi}^v \) in medium 1 and \( E_{\varphi}^t \) in medium 2 are the perturbations introduced by the presence of the spheroid:

\[
E_{\varphi}^s = \frac{-2k^2 Z_h}{\sqrt{c^2 + 1}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^n b_{1,n}}{\rho_{1,n} N_{1,n}} R_{1,n}^{(3)}(-ic, i\xi_0) \times
R_{1,n}^{(3)}(-ic, i\xi_0) S_{1,n}(-ic, \eta), \quad (h = 1, 2)
\]

(36)

where the modal coefficients \( b_{1,n} \) are found to be given by:

\[
b_{2,n} = \frac{\zeta T}{1 - R(-1)^n} b_{1,n} = -\zeta T R_{1,n}^{(1)}(-ic, i\xi_0) R_{1,n}^{(3)}(-ic, i\xi_0); \quad (37)
\]

in particular,

\[
b_{2,2\ell} = b_{1,2\ell}, \quad b_{2,2\ell+1} = \xi b_{1,2\ell+1}.
\]

(38)

In the far field,

\[
(E_{\varphi})_{\xi \to \infty} \sim \frac{e^{ikr}}{kr} F^{(m)}(\theta), \quad (h = 1, 2)
\]

(39)

where

\[
F^{(1)}_{\varphi}(\theta) = \frac{2i2k^2 Z_1}{\sqrt{c^2 + 1}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^n}{\rho_{1,n} N_{1,n}} \left[ 1 - R(-1)^n \right] \times
R_{1,n}^{(1)}(-ic, i\xi_0) +b_{1,n} R_{1,n}^{(3)}(-ic, i\xi_0) \right] S_{1,n}(-ic, \cos \theta),
\]

(40)

\[
F^{(2)}_{\varphi}(\theta) = \frac{2i2k^2 Z_2}{\sqrt{c^2 + 1}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^n}{\rho_{1,n} N_{1,n}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^n}{\rho_{1,n} N_{1,n}} \{ \zeta T R_{1,n}^{(1)}(-ic, i\xi_0) + \xi b_{1,n} R_{1,n}^{(3)}(-ic, i\xi_0) \} S_{1,n}(-ic, \cos \theta);
\]

(41)

note that (40) and (41) become identical when \( Z_1 = Z_2 \).

The surface current densities on the spheroid are found from the total magnetic field tangent to the surface:

\[
(H_{1\eta})_{\xi = \xi_0} = \frac{2k^2}{c^2 \sqrt{(\xi_0^2 + 1)(\xi_0^2 + 1)(\xi_0^2 + \eta^2)}} \times
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^n}{\rho_{1,n} N_{1,n}} \left[ 1 - R(-1)^n \right] \times
R_{1,n}^{(3)}(-ic, i\xi_0) S_{1,n}(-ic, \eta),
\]

(42)

\[
(H_{2\eta})_{\xi = \xi_0} = \frac{2k^2}{c^2 \sqrt{(\xi_0^2 + 1)(\xi_0^2 + 1)(\xi_0^2 + \eta^2)}} \times
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^n}{\rho_{1,n} N_{1,n}} \left[ 1 - R(-1)^n \right] \times
R_{1,n}^{(3)}(-ic, i\xi_0) S_{1,n}(-ic, \eta),
\]

(43)

It should be noted that in deriving the surface fields (42-43), as well as (26-27) for the case of the electric dipole source, it was assumed that \( \xi < \xi_0 \). If we had assumed \( \xi > \xi_0 \) and then let \( \xi_0 \to \xi_1 \), i.e. sent the magnetic dipole to the surface of the spheroid, then all fields everywhere would be zero.

IV. THE PROLATE SPHEROID

Since derivations are similar to those for the oblate spheroid, details are omitted and only the results are given.

A. Electric Dipole Source

For the same electric dipole considered for the oblate spheroid, the \( \varphi \) component of the incident magnetic field may be expanded in a series of prolate spheroidal wave functions, with notation as in \([8], [9]\):

\[
H_{\varphi}^s = \frac{2k^2 Y_1}{\sqrt{c^2 - \eta^2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^n}{\rho_{1,n} N_{1,n}} \times
R_{1,n}^{(3)}(c, \xi_0) R_{1,n}^{(3)}(c, \xi_0) S_{1,n}(c, \eta),
\]

(44)

where \( \rho_{1,n} \) and \( N_{1,n} \) are the normalization factors for prolate radial and angular spheroidal functions, respectively, of order 1 and degree \( n \). Equations (8) and (11-15) remain valid, while (9-10) are replaced by

\[
E_{h\xi} = -\frac{iZ_h}{c} \frac{1 - \eta^2}{\sqrt{c^2 - \eta^2}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} - \frac{\eta}{1 - \eta^2} \right) H_{h\varphi},
\]

(45)

\[
E_{h\eta} = \frac{iZ_h}{c} \frac{1 - \eta^2}{\sqrt{c^2 - \eta^2}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{c^2 + 1}} \right) H_{h\varphi}.
\]

(46)

It is found that

\[
H_{\varphi}^s + H_{\varphi}^t = \frac{2k^2 Y_1}{\sqrt{c^2 - \eta^2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^n}{\rho_{1,n} N_{1,n}} \{ 1 + R(-1)^n \} \times
R_{1,n}^{(3)}(c, \xi_0) R_{1,n}^{(3)}(c, \xi_0) S_{1,n}(c, \eta),
\]

(47)

\[
H_{h\varphi}^s = \frac{2k^2 Y_1}{\sqrt{c^2 - \eta^2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^n}{\rho_{1,n} N_{1,n}} \times
R_{1,n}^{(3)}(c, \xi_0) R_{1,n}^{(3)}(c, \xi_0) S_{1,n}(c, \eta),
\]

(48)

where

\[
c_{2,n} = \frac{T}{1 + R(-1)^n} c_{1,n} =
- T[\xi_1 R_{1,n}^{(1)}(c, \xi_1) + (\xi_1^2 - 1) R_{1,n}^{(3)}(c, \xi_1)],
\]

(49)

with

\[
C_n(c, \xi_1) = \xi_1 R_{1,n}^{(3)}(c, \xi_1) + (\xi_1^2 - 1) R_{1,n}^{(3)}(c, \xi_1).
\]

(50)

In the far field,

\[
(H_{h\varphi})_{\xi \to \infty} \sim \frac{e^{ikr}}{kr} G^{(e)}_{h\varphi}(\theta), \quad (h = 1, 2)
\]

(51)

where

\[
G^{(e)}_{h\varphi}(\theta) = \frac{2k^2 Y_1}{\sqrt{c^2 - \eta^2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^n}{\rho_{1,n} N_{1,n}} \{ 1 + R(-1)^n \} \times
R_{1,n}^{(1)}(c, \xi_0) + c_{1,n} R_{1,n}^{(3)}(c, \xi_0) \} S_{1,n}(c, \cos \theta),
\]

(52)

\[
G^{(e)}_{2\varphi}(\theta) = \frac{2k^2 Y_2}{\sqrt{c^2 - \eta^2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^n}{\rho_{1,n} N_{1,n}} \{ T R_{1,n}^{(1)}(c, \xi_0) +
\]

(53)

\[+ c_{2,n} R_{1,n}^{(3)}(c, \xi_0) \} S_{1,n}(c, \cos \theta);
\]

(53)
It is found that with notation as in [8][9]:

\[
G^{(c)}_{1\varphi}(\theta)_{\xi_{0} = \xi_{1}} = \frac{2ik^{2}Y_{1}}{c\sqrt{\xi_{1} - 1}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ (-1)^{n} + \frac{R}{1 - R(-1)^{n}} \right] \rho_{1,n}N_{1,n}C_{n}(c, \xi_{1}) \tag{54}
\]

\[
G^{(c)}_{2\varphi}(\theta)_{\xi_{0} = \xi_{1}} = \frac{2ik^{2}Y_{2}}{c\sqrt{\xi_{1} - 1}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ (-1)^{n} \frac{S_{1,n}(c, \cos \theta)}{\rho_{1,n}N_{1,n}C_{n}(c, \xi_{1})} \right]. \tag{55}
\]

The surface current densities on the spheroid are found from the total magnetic field tangent to the surface:

\[
(H_{1\varphi})_{\xi = \xi_{1}} = \frac{-2k^{2}Y_{1}}{c\sqrt{\xi_{0} - 1}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ (-1)^{n}[1 + R(-1)^{n}] \right] \rho_{1,n}N_{1,n}C_{n}(c, \xi_{1}) \times
R^{(3)}_{1,n}(c, \xi_{0})S_{1,n}(c, \eta), \tag{56}
\]

\[
(H_{2\varphi})_{\xi = \xi_{1}} = \frac{-2k^{2}Y_{2}}{c\sqrt{\xi_{0} - 1}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ (-1)^{n} \right] \rho_{1,n}N_{1,n}C_{n}(c, \xi_{1}) \times
R^{(3)}_{1,n}(c, \xi_{0})S_{1,n}(c, \eta), \tag{57}
\]

**B. Magnetic Dipole Source**

For the same magnetic dipole considered for the oblate spheroid, the \( \varphi \) component of the incident electric field may be expanded in a series of prolate spheroidal wave functions, with notation as in [8][9]:

\[
E^{i}_{\varphi} = \frac{-2k^{2}Z_{1}}{c\sqrt{\xi_{0} - 1}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ (-1)^{n-1} \right] \rho_{1,n}N_{1,n} \times
R^{(3)}_{1,n}(c, \xi_{<})R^{(3)}_{1,n}(c, \xi_{>})S_{1,n}(c, \eta). \tag{58}
\]

Equations (29) and (32-34) still hold, while (30-31) are replaced by

\[
H_{h\xi} = \frac{iY_{h}}{c} \sqrt{\frac{1 - \eta^{2}}{\xi^{2} - \eta^{2}}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} - \frac{\eta}{1 - \eta^{2}} \right) E_{h\varphi}, \tag{59}
\]

\[
H_{h\eta} = -\frac{iY_{h}}{c} \sqrt{\frac{\xi^{2} - 1}{\xi^{2} - \eta^{2}}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\xi}{\xi^{2} - 1} \right) E_{h\varphi}. \tag{60}
\]

It is found that

\[
E^{i}_{\varphi} + E^{r}_{\varphi} = \frac{-2k^{2}Z_{1}}{c\sqrt{\xi_{0} - 1}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ (-1)^{n-1} \right] \rho_{1,n}N_{1,n} \times
R^{(3)}_{1,n}(c, \xi_{<})R^{(3)}_{1,n}(c, \xi_{>})S_{1,n}(c, \eta), \tag{61}
\]

\[
E^{s}_{\varphi} = \frac{-2k^{2}Z_{h}}{c\sqrt{\xi_{0} - 1}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ (-1)^{n-1} \right] \rho_{1,n}N_{1,n} \times
R^{(3)}_{1,n}(c, \xi_{0})R^{(3)}_{1,n}(c, \xi)S_{1,n}(c, \eta), \tag{62}
\]

where

\[
d_{2,n} = \frac{\zeta T}{1 - R(-1)^{n}} d_{1,n} = -\zeta T R^{(1)}_{1,n}(c, \xi_{1}) R^{(3)}_{1,n}(c, \xi_{1}), \tag{63}
\]

so that, in particular,

\[
d_{2,2\varphi} = d_{1,2\varphi}, \quad d_{2,2\varphi+1} = \zeta d_{1,2\varphi+1}. \tag{64}
\]

In the far field,

\[
(E_{h\varphi})_{\xi \to \infty} \sim \frac{e^{ikr}}{kr} c^{(m)}_{h\varphi}(\theta), \quad (h = 1, 2), \tag{65}
\]

where

\[
G^{(m)}_{1\varphi}(\theta) = \frac{-2k^{2}Z_{1}}{c\sqrt{\xi_{0} - 1}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ (-1)^{n} \right] \rho_{1,n}N_{1,n} \times
\]

\[
R^{(1)}_{1,n}(c, \xi_{0}) + d_{1,n}R^{(3)}_{1,n}(c, \xi_{0}) \right] S_{1,n}(c, \cos \theta), \tag{66}
\]

\[
G^{(m)}_{2\varphi}(\theta) = \frac{-2k^{2}Z_{2}}{c\sqrt{\xi_{0} - 1}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ (-1)^{n} \right] \rho_{1,n}N_{1,n} \times
\]

\[
d_{2,n}R^{(3)}_{1,n}(c, \xi_{0}) \right] S_{1,n}(c, \cos \theta); \tag{67}
\]

note that (66) and (67) become identical when \( Z_{1} = Z_{2} \).

The surface current densities on the spheroid are found from the total magnetic field tangent to the surface:

\[
(H_{1\eta})_{\xi = \xi_{1}} = \frac{2k^{2}}{c^{2}(\xi_{0}^{2} - 1)(\xi_{1}^{2} - 1)(\xi_{1}^{2} - \eta^{2})} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ (-1)^{n-1}[1 + R(-1)^{n}] \right] \rho_{1,n}N_{1,n} \times
R^{(3)}_{1,n}(c, \xi_{0})S_{1,n}(c, \eta), \tag{68}
\]

\[
(H_{2\eta})_{\xi = \xi_{1}} = \frac{2k^{2}T}{c^{2}(\xi_{0}^{2} - 1)(\xi_{1}^{2} - 1)(\xi_{1}^{2} - \eta^{2})} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ (-1)^{n-1} \right] \rho_{1,n}N_{1,n} \times
R^{(3)}_{1,n}(c, \xi_{0})S_{1,n}(c, \eta). \tag{69}
\]

**V. PARTICULAR CASES**

The case of the sphere may be obtained as a limiting case from either the oblate or the prolate spheroid cases, when \( d \to 0 \) (hence \( c \to 0 \)). The specific results are not reported here. The case of the sphere cannot be easily obtained from the results for the prolate spheroid as \( \xi_{1} \to 1 \) because \( \xi = 1 \) is a singular point for the coefficients of the differential equation satisfied by the radial prolate spheroidal functions. On the other hand, the case of the circular disk corresponds to the limit \( \xi_{1} = 0 \) for the oblate spheroid, and this is not a singular point for the coefficients of the differential equation satisfied by the radial oblate spheroidal functions. Consequently, the circular disk is easily treated as a particular case for the oblate spheroid, by letting \( \xi_{1} = 0 \) in the formulas of Section III. In particular, the coefficients (18) simplify to:

\[
(a_{h,2\varepsilon+1})_{\xi_{1} = 0} = 0, \tag{70}
\]

\[
(a_{h,2\varepsilon})_{\xi_{1} = 0} = -T \frac{R^{(1)}_{1,2\varepsilon}(-ic, i\theta)}{R^{(3)}_{1,2\varepsilon}(-ic, i\theta)}, \tag{71}
\]

whereas the coefficients (37) become:

\[
(b_{h,2\varepsilon})_{\xi_{1} = 0} = 0, \tag{72}
\]

\[
(b_{2,2\varepsilon+1})_{\xi_{1} = 0} = \zeta (b_{1,2\varepsilon+1})_{\xi_{1} = 0} = -\zeta T \frac{R^{(1)}_{1,2\varepsilon+1}(-ic, i\theta)}{R^{(3)}_{1,2\varepsilon+1}(-ic, i\theta)}. \tag{73}
\]
VI. NUMERICAL RESULTS

The numerical results obtained in this section and exhibited in Figs. 3-6 are provided as test cases for researchers who may want to check the accuracy of their computer codes without becoming embroiled in the numerical evaluation of series of spheroidal functions.

Computations of the spheroidal functions were performed using some of the Fortran subroutines reported in [10]. The numerical examples discussed in the following all refer to a dipole source located on the positive z-axis at the spheroidal coordinate \( \xi_0 = 3 \). For both oblate and prolate cases, the metallic spheroid corresponds to the coordinate surface \( \xi_1 = 2 \). The values of the intrinsic impedances of the isorefractive media are indicated in the captions to the figures. For each case examined, three values of the parameter \( c \), defined in (6), are investigated: \( c = 1, \pi, 10 \).

Fig. 3 shows the current induced on the surface of an oblate spheroid due to an electric dipole, computed using (26).

Fig. 4 shows the far-field coefficient due to an electric dipole in the presence of an oblate spheroid, computed using (22).

Fig. 5 shows the far-field coefficient due to an electric dipole in the presence of a prolate spheroid, computed using (52). Even though Figs. 4 and 5 appear similar, one should observe that in Fig. 4 \( Z_2 = 240\pi \Omega \), while in Fig. 5 \( Z_2 = 360\pi \Omega \).

Finally, Fig. 6 shows the far field coefficient due to a magnetic dipole in the presence of a prolate spheroid computed using (66). In Figs. 4, 5, 6 the independent variable is the spheroidal coordinate \( \eta \) by \( \eta = \cos \theta \). Hence, when \( \theta \to 0 \), the far-field coefficient vanishes as one would expect from the behavior of the field of a dipole along its axis.

These results were computed by summing the series using the acceleration technique described in [11], which is based on Shanks’ transform. The number of series terms that were actually summed was determined by the convergence criterion for each specific summation. The convergence criterion that was adopted essentially required that the relative variation of three consecutive approximations of the sum were all below a threshold that was set to \( 10^{-6} \). By so doing, at most the first 58 terms of any series were computed. Additionally, the computations were repeated by executing the Fortran subroutines using quadruple precision variables and the algorithm was forced to always compute at least 100 terms and with an even lower threshold, but no appreciable variations were observed in the numerical results, which confirmed the accuracy of the original computations. The computation time, for double precision variables, is in the order of a few minutes using an ordinary Windows based PC, with a clock frequency of 1.5GHz and 768MB of RAM memory.

VII. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Analytical results for a new canonical problem involving a metallic spheroid, two isorefractive media and a dipole source located along the axis of symmetry of revolution were obtained. This new analytical solution enriches the list of electromagnetic problems for which exact solutions are known. Numerical results for induced currents and far-field coefficients were provided and discussed. This solution is important, for instance, to conduct comparisons with other methods, similar to what is discussed in [5], [6], [7].

There are several practical antenna configurations whose analysis can benefit from the results obtained in this paper. The buoy antenna, consisting of an antenna axially mounted on a body of revolution floating in water, is not amenable to exact analytical solutions and is often analyzed numerically. Even though lake and sea water are not isorefractive to air and, furthermore, non-negligible losses occur especially in sea water, those researchers who desire to check their computer code against an exact result could adjust their parameters to fit solutions presented in this paper and verify their computed data at least in this particular case. The ground-stake antenna...
is a thin wire antenna oriented perpendicular to the interface between two different media and located partially in either medium. The analysis of this antenna is practically important but difficult problem that has attracted a lot of attention and has been solved either numerically or asymptotically [12-17]. In asymptotic studies, the evaluation of Sommerfeld-type integrals is especially delicate [18]. A related problem is that of a linear antenna on the axis of a circular aperture in a planar screen separating two different media [19]. Computer codes providing solutions for this class of problems may be checked against the exact results of Section IV of this paper by simulating the wire antenna with a thin prolate spheroid and taking the two media to be isorefractive. Again, this is only a partial check for a very specific set of parameters, but is the only verification available that is based on an exact solution. Another important problem is that of a vertical wire antenna mounted on a PEC platform located on a lossy ground. Asymptotic solutions have been developed, but their accuracy may be questionable if the edges of the platform are not in the far field of the antenna, so a numerical approach may be more accurate. Any asymptotic or numerical solution developed for this system may be checked by comparison with our exact result of Section V, when the PEC platform is a circular disk and the ground is taken as isorefractive to air.

VIII. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to Prof. C. M. Butler for bringing several papers to their attention, and to both him and Dr. W. A. Johnson for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES


