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Abstract

**Background:** Longitudinal research is critical for understanding the biological mechanisms underlying the development of depression. Researchers recruit high-risk cohorts to understand how risk is transmitted from one generation to the next. Biological measurements have been incorporated into these longitudinal high-risk (LHR) studies in order to illuminate mechanistic pathways.

**Methods:** To frame our review, we first present heritability estimates along the gene-by-environment continuum as a foundation. We then offer a Biomarkers of Intergenerational Risk for Depression (BIRD) model to describe the multiple hits individuals at risk receive and to allow for greater focus on the interactive effects of markers. BIRD allows for the known multifinality of pathways towards depression and considers the context (i.e., environment) in which these mechanisms emerge. Next, we review the extant LHR cohort studies that have assessed central nervous system (electroencephalography (EEG), neuroimaging), endocrine (hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis (HPA)/cortisol), autonomic (startle, heart rate), genetic, sleep, and birth characteristics.

**Results:** Results to date, in conjunction with the proposed model, point towards several pathways of discovery in understanding mechanisms, providing clear direction for future research examining potential endophenotypes.

**Limitations:** Our review is based on relatively narrow inclusion and exclusion criteria. As such, many interesting studies were excluded, but this weakness is offset by strengths such as the increased reliability of findings.

**Conclusions:** Blanket prevention programs are inefficient and plagued by low effect sizes due to low rates of actual conversion to disorder. The inclusion of biomarkers of risk may lead to enhanced program efficiency by targeting those with greatest risk.
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Introduction

Studies of Longitudinal High-Risk (LHR) design identify offspring at increased risk for depression based on positive family history and follow offspring over time in order to capture first-onset of disorder. LHR designs are valuable in that they reduce the sample size needed to detect sufficient rates of illness because of increased incidence rates. LHR designs can aid in discovery of endophenotypes—that is, heritable phenotypes associated with illness risk that are measurable independent of illness state (Gottesman & Gould, 2003). LHR designs offer several benefits over studies of acutely depressed individuals. For example, studies of the acutely ill cannot discriminate between abnormalities representing the disease process (i.e., scars), active disease-state phenomena (i.e., state), byproducts of treatment (e.g., recovery, adaptation), or trait-related markers of risk that may exist prior to the onset of disorder. As such, case-control studies of ill patients compared to controls demarcate the end state of illness and are confounded by the scars of onset, chronic illness, compensatory mechanisms as well as acute state effects. Longitudinal follow-up of HR individuals is one way to address these confounds and to capture first-onset of disorder as offspring pass through windows of risk. The present review examines heritability estimates along the gene-by-environment continuum to establish a foundation for our proposed model of Biomarkers of Intergenerational Risk for Depression (BIRD). We integrate biological findings from LHR studies, provide theoretical and practical recommendations for existing cohorts and to-be-designed studies, and conclude with clinical implications.

Prospective psychiatric study of familial risk for psychopathology at the group level began in the 1980s following the introduction of structured diagnostic interviews with demonstrated reliability, the application of epidemiological methods, and the increased hope that
genetic understanding would unravel the complex etiology of mental health disorders. As diagnostic methods for children and adolescents became available (e.g., (Chambers et al., 1985)) and as it became clear that most psychiatric disorders began in childhood and adolescence (Burke et al., 1990; Kessler et al., 2001; Kessler et al., 2005), several HR family studies including child and adolescent offspring were initiated. These early studies established the familial nature of many psychiatric disorders (Klein et al., 2001; Weissman et al., 1997) and led to the incorporation of longitudinal follow-up to capture the early signs, onset, and clinical course of disorder. As techniques became available for assessing putative physiological and neural biomarkers, LHR studies evolved from observational studies of clinical phenomena toward the explication of mechanisms, the search for neural endophenotypes, or what has been described as translational epidemiology (Weissman, 2012). This body of research has led to foundational knowledge of biological mechanisms in risk for depression. For example, whereas it was previously questioned whether or not children could experience depression, we now know that offspring of individuals with Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) are at increased risk for anxiety as children and MDD as they mature into adolescence and adulthood (Hammen et al., 2012; Klein et al., 2001; Kovacs et al., 1989; Weissman et al., 2006; Weissman et al., 1997).

Heritability Estimates along the Continuum of Gene-by-Environment Interactions

There are now a sufficient number of independent LHR studies using biological measurement of putative endophenotypes to examine the consistency of findings as they fall along the gene-by-environment continuum of heritability. We present these estimates to offer a foundation in current knowledge about gene and environment contributions to mechanisms of risk. Figure 1 illustrates heritability estimates for various putative biological mechanisms (corresponding references listed in Appendix A). Overall, heritability estimates for MDD are
estimated to range between 31% and 42% (Sullivan et al., 2000; Wray & Gottesman, 2012). There is evidence of gender-specific heritability as well as evidence that heritability estimates may shift across developmental periods (Fanous et al., 2002). Heritability estimates for gene-by-environment mechanisms reviewed herein range from unmeasured (fMRI; both task-based and at rest) to 85% (alpha band power). These heritability estimates offer a context for the current review. This figure illustrates quite clearly that there are a number of sizable heritability risk factors for the intergenerational transmission of depression; however, there is limited information on the cumulative impact of these biological mechanisms. We believe that LHR studies can benefit from targeting these key markers and evaluating outcomes.

We propose Biomarkers of Intergenerational Risk for Depression (BIRD) to allow for the cumulative hit from multiple risk factors that individuals who are at high-risk based on family history may experience on the pathway to depression and resiliency. This model allows for the environmental context in which these mechanisms of risk emerge and evolve and also allows for the fact that some of these mechanisms may be correlated with one another or even derive from the same system dysfunction. We are particularly interested in environmental contributions as the environment is more amenable to manipulation, offering opportunities to study whether biological mechanisms can be altered through environmental intervention.

In light of this model, we review LHR studies of MDD that include physiological, neural, and genetic measures. Review at this stage can recommend new directions as well as highlight important findings of convergence and those in need of replication. It is time to examine the extent to which biological measures have been captured over developmental periods of risk, which will importantly advance the intergenerational transmission of depression. Furthermore, our review can inform the selection of dependent and predictive variables of interest that can be
pursued more extensively within existing cohorts and in the next generation of LHR studies. We
examine the following systems: central nervous system including electroencephalography (EEG)
and neuroimaging, endocrine including the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis (HPA),
autonomic including heart rate and startle, genetics, sleep, and birth characteristics.

Methods

Inclusion criteria for our review included human studies that: 1) examine biological
offspring of probands with MDD diagnosed via structured clinical interview; 2) include a
biological measure in the protocol; 3) compare HR for depression to a Low-Risk (LR) control;
and 4) follow the sample longitudinally across development. Literature searches were run in
PubMed, Google Scholar, and Scopus and included search terms such as (risk AND depression
The initial search identified 385 studies. Studies were excluded for the following reasons: review
paper or chapter without new results (n = 46), no HR group or risk defined through self-report
measures rather than diagnostic structured interviews of mental illness (n = 102), no diagnostic
measure of MDD (n = 149), use of the family history method to interview offspring without
directly interviewing the affected family member to establish risk group or questionnaire
measures of symptoms only (n = 7), and no comparison between HR and LR (n = 8). We also
excluded those without biological measures (n = 14) and those that did not include longitudinal
follow-up in the cohort reports to date (n = 7).

Results

We identified six cohort studies. Table 1 details the Principal Investigator (PI) of each
cohort study, overall sample size, recruitment focus, diagnostic interviews used, and length of
longitudinal follow-up. Studies varied in whether or not they focused recruitment on adults who also had offspring or whether or not the offspring were the target of recruitment. These alternative strategies can be referred to as top-down and bottom-up respectively, with the former involving recruitment and focus on the characterization of adults with disorder and the subsequent study of offspring (e.g., Weissman), whereas the latter identifies child offspring from the outset based upon parental history of illness (e.g., Gotlib). Both strategies ultimately rely on adults to respond to recruitment efforts and to provide consent for multi-generational investigation but differ slightly in recruitment targets.

TABLE 1

Table 2 details which biological measure(s) each cohort study adopted and specifications of these measurements. The most commonly implemented biological measures include assessments of central nervous system function through EEG (5 cohorts) and autonomic measures such as heart period (3 cohorts). Additional implemented measures include MRI (2 cohorts), cortisol (2 cohorts), polysomnography measures of sleep (2 cohorts), genetics (1 cohort), startle (1 cohort), and birth-weight (1 cohort). Table 3 outlines ages and sample sizes of each investigation by manuscript and includes a summary of results.

TABLES 2–3

Central Nervous System

EEG

Table 3 illustrates remarkably consistent findings regarding greater relative right frontal EEG alpha asymmetries, greater parietotemporal asymmetries, and P300 abnormalities among
LHR cohorts. In sum, five cohort studies have used various EEG measures in an attempt to capture brain-based putative endophenotypes of MDD. On the other hand, parietotemporal asymmetries are believed to reflect the arousal dimension of emotion (Heller et al., 1995), with decreased parietotemporal activity suggesting lower emotional arousal. Findings among HR individuals are consistent with documented abnormalities among acutely depressed individuals (e.g., (Debener et al., 2000)), suggesting they are potential trait vulnerability factors rather than state-related epiphenomena.

*Frontal alpha asymmetries*

One of the most well replicated findings across familial cohort studies is that HR offspring demonstrate asymmetries in alpha-band brain activity over frontal regions when compared to their LR peers. Greater left, relative to right, mid-frontal EEG activation (i.e., absence of alpha) is hypothesized to represent approach motivation, whereas greater right lateral frontal activation is hypothesized to represent withdrawal or blunted affect (Davidson, 1998). HR youth demonstrate greater right lateral frontal EEG activation, whereas LR youth demonstrate greater left lateral frontal EEG activation while watching emotional film clips (Lopez-Duran et al., 2012; Tomarken et al., 2004). Even among infants, demonstration of asymmetry reflecting emotion has been found (as displayed in Table 2). Specifically, links between left frontal hypoactivity with decreased positive affect and corresponding increases in negative affect have been observed among HR infants during mother-child interactions (Dawson et al., 1999a; Dawson et al., 1999b). The reduced left frontal brain activity displayed by HR infants in this study was related to behavioral observations of less demonstrated affection during free play. In addition, increased frontal activation in both hemispheres was related to higher levels of negative affect, hostility, tantrums, and aggression longitudinally. Observed abnormalities were not
specific to infant responses to depressed mothers but generalized to positive play interactions with non-depressed adults (in this case, a familiar lab experimenter).

The frontal alpha asymmetry research is not without inconsistency. Among HR children between the ages of three and nine who completed resting state EEG (see Table 3), results reveal contrasting patterns including correlations between greater relative left frontal activity and higher levels of internalizing and externalizing problems (Forbes et al., 2006). No EEG asymmetries were detected within Kovacs’ LHR study; however, relative right frontal EEG asymmetry both at baseline and in response to emotional (both happy and sad) film clips predicted elevated depression symptoms one year later (Feng et al., 2012), consistent with the hypothesis that asymmetry on the right compared to left is associated with the elaborated processing of emotion and trait-like tendencies towards withdrawal.

One benefit of following offspring longitudinally is observing the development of capacities that are implicated in risk for disorder. For example, at 14 months of age, HR infants exhibited reduced left frontal electrical brain activity (Dawson et al., 1997; Dawson et al., 1999). When assessed again at age three, HR offspring of mothers with chronic depression exhibited lower activations in frontal and parietal regions (higher alpha EEG power) compared to both LR offspring as well as HR offspring of remitted depressed mothers (Dawson et al., 2003). The combination of low frontal brain activation with exposure to contextual risks such as marital discord and maternal stress may mediate relations between maternal depression and offspring behavior problems. Findings were replicated when offspring were school-age; however, the association between maternal depression and higher EEG alpha power held for the frontal, but not parietal, region (Ashman et al., 2008). Collectively, these studies illustrate that differences in
approach and withdrawal tendencies can be detected very early in development and may be predictive of a maladaptive trajectory in the development of emotion regulation that place a child at increased risk for MDD.

_Parietotemporal asymmetries_

Fewer investigations have examined asymmetries in parietotemporal regions, but findings are consistent across three generations within one cohort (Table 3). Individuals at the greatest level of risk (offspring of two depressed parents compared to one or no depressed parents) displayed parietotemporal asymmetries in comparison to their LR counterparts (Bruder et al., 2005). In the second generation of this same cohort, offspring of two depressed parents demonstrated greater central and parietal alpha asymmetry at medial sites (Bruder et al., 2005), again deriving from relatively less activity/greater alpha in the right hemisphere. Offspring in generation three with two depressed parents demonstrated greater alpha asymmetry deriving from relatively less right than left hemisphere activity in the parietal region during the resting state (Bruder et al., 2007). Thus, consistencies exist across generations within one cohort study suggesting that HR offspring demonstrate lower approach motivation or dampened responsiveness to positive stimuli.

_P300 abnormalities_

A smaller set of cohort studies have examined P300 abnormalities, which are hypothesized to measure cognitive processes such as the allocation of attention during stimulus evaluation (Kok, 2001). During a selective attention task, HR youth demonstrated slower reaction times and larger P300 and slow wave amplitudes in frontal regions than LR youth (Perez-Edgar et al., 2006). HR youth did not perform any worse than LR youth in neutral
conditions; however, they recruited greater cognitive resources in executive regions while processing valent stimuli. These patterns suggest difficulty in the efficient mobilization of executive attention under conditions of negative affect, representing poorer emotion regulation. This is consistent with the hypothesis that processing emotional stimuli is cognitively taxing for at-risk individuals.

**MRI**

Two LHR cohort studies have used neuroimaging in an attempt to identify brain-based biomarkers for MDD (see Table 3 for details). These studies have identified differences between HR and LR groups in brain structure, function, and connectivity.

**Volumetric MRI**

Recent evidence among HR cohorts (Table 3) suggests that cortical thinning may be observed prior to illness onset. Cortical thinning representing a 30% reduction in the lateral surface of the right cerebral hemisphere was documented among HR offspring (Peterson et al., 2009). Among HR offspring with diagnoses of MDD or anxiety, thinning was also observed in the left hemisphere and thickening was observed in the medial portion of the right cingulate cortex. Exploration of the clinical phenotype associated with these patterns suggests that reductions in whole brain cortical thickness are associated with increasing symptoms of inattention and decreasing visual memory abilities for faces. To date, this is the only LHR cohort study to examine cortical thickness; however, specific brain regions have been examined in Gotlib’s cohort (see Table 3). Volumetric reductions were identified in the left hippocampus and right parahippocampal gyrus among HR compared to LR girls (Chen et al., 2012). In addition to cortical thinning, smaller hippocampi may form a risk marker for depressive illness, a finding
that until recently was thought to be a marker of present illness, scar, or burden (e.g., (Savitz & Drevets, 2009)). Structural endophenotypes are worthy of further exploration among HR cohorts as preliminary results are promising.

**Structural Connectivity**

Structural connectivity has also been examined in one cohort (see Table 3) and suggests white matter reductions in the superior longitudinal fasciculus (SLF; (Dubin et al., 2012)). The SLF is significant in that it connects frontal and parietal regions and passes through the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dlPFC). In this specific study, white matter hypoplasia in the right hemisphere was correlated with symptoms of mood, anxiety and attention difficulties. Moreover, cortical thickness and white matter volumes were highly intercorrelated, pointing towards an underlying common mechanism.

**Task-based functional MRI**

Task-based fMRI has been used in one LHR cohort (see Table 3) and focused on reward processing and the cognitive control of emotion. HR girls demonstrated marked reductions in striatal activation during anticipation and receipt of reward (Gotlib et al., 2010). During anticipation of reward, higher right insula activation was observed among HR compared to LR girls. Differential sensitivity to reward was also demonstrated by greater activations in the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (dACC) among the HR group during loss, but not during reward, outcomes. Greater activations in the amygdala and ventro-lateral prefrontal cortex (vlPFC) have been documented among HR compared to LR girls in response to instructions to regulate emotions following a sad mood induction (Joormann et al., 2012). Differences in the vlPFC are significant as evidence suggests this region may serve an important top-down inhibitory link
between the lateral PFC and the amygdala (Johnstone et al., 2007). Finally, LR girls demonstrated greater agility in their ability to use a positive autobiographical memory to induce positive mood as demonstrated by greater activation in the dlPFC and dACC. These findings suggest that further explorations of reward and cognitive control among offspring at risk for MDD are likely to be illustrative.

What is clear is that brain abnormalities can be observed in HR individuals before the onset of depression, providing evidence that these markers may represent endophenotypes. Structural and functional findings among LHR cohorts converge in regions involved in cognitive control and emotional salience consistent with the broader literature on MDD.

Endocrine

Hypothalamic-Pituitary Adrenal (HPA) Axis

It is well known that the stress contributes to the development of depression. The HPA axis is one of the primary biological stress response systems in humans. HPA axis dysfunction has long been implicated in depression (e.g., (Pariante & Lightman, 2008)). Cortisol—a hormone that can be measured in saliva or plasma during experimental manipulations—has been examined as a proxy for HPA axis dysfunction and inappropriate responses to stress in two LHR cohorts (Table 3). Indeed, evidence suggests that increased salivary cortisol can be detected prior to onset of disorder (Gotlib et al., 2008). In fact, high cortisol reactivity in reaction to a lab stressor predicted risk status among adolescent daughters of depressed mothers (Waugh et al., 2012). In an independent cohort, maternal depression during the child’s first two years of life was
the best predictor of elevations in baseline cortisol at age seven (Ashman et al., 2002). LHR cohort studies of cortisol are somewhat limited and inconsistent, partly because of small samples and variability in HPA measurement techniques.

Autonomic

Three cohort studies have measured heart rate or respiratory sinus arrhythmia (RSA) as putative mechanisms of risk (see Table 3). Heart period is inversely related to heart rate and is hypothesized to be a biological probe of emotion regulation as heart period tends to decrease during affective challenge and recover following challenge. RSA reflects variation in inter-beat intervals of the heart deriving from the frequency of breathing and is controlled by the vagus nerve and the parasympathetic branch of the autonomic nervous system. Higher RSA is believed to be more adaptive in that it reflects a greater capacity for self-regulation or physiological flexibility (e.g., (Porges, 1995)). Among HR, but not LR, youth ages three to nine, lower baseline RSA was associated with symptoms of psychopathology (Forbes et al., 2006). Higher RSA reactivity has also been documented among HR offspring (Ashman et al., 2008). This study failed to find differences in baseline vagal tone; however, children of chronically depressed mothers demonstrated greater parasympathetic withdrawal in response to emotion-eliciting film clips. All children demonstrated RSA reductions and heart rate accelerations during neutral and negative emotion-eliciting film clips, indicating vagal withdrawal. In contrast, HR offspring of chronically depressed mothers exhibited a more pronounced reduction in RSA across all conditions, including the happy film condition (when increases in RSA would be expected).

Findings from three different cohorts (see Table 3) are consistent in documenting higher and less variable heart period during rest and recovery among HR youth; however, findings vary
across development. For example, in the context of recovery from disappointment, HR youth continued to demonstrate an increased heart period compared to LR peers (Forbes et al., 2006), suggesting that HR youth have difficulties regulating emotions. However, it remains unclear whether these youth have difficulty recovering from distress as opposed to difficulty experiencing pleasure. Increased coupling between affective and cardiovascular responses to stress has also been documented among HR youth in addition to poor habituation to repeated exposure to negative affect (Waugh et al., 2012). Linear growth modeling was used to investigate developmental patterns and a significant risk by age interaction suggested that LR children demonstrated increasing resting RSA with age. Trajectories diverged during the pre-pubertal years (Gentzler et al., 2012). Lastly, HR infants as young as 13–15 months exhibited higher heart rates during the Strange Situation task, indicating that these infants were more aroused than their LR peers (Dawson et al., 2001).

LHR studies in this domain are consistent in documenting irregularities in the physiological processing of emotion; however, negative findings are worth noting. Two publications with RSA deriving from one cohort failed to detect differences between HR and LR groups. In the first, greater decreases in RSA in response to a sad film clip predicted more adaptive emotion regulation and lower levels of depression across both HR and LR groups (Gentzler et al., 2009). In the second, lower vagal recovery and higher negative affectivity were associated with maladaptive emotion regulation in the face of frustration but did not discriminate high from low risk (Santucci et al., 2008). Despite these contrasts, overall results in this domain are consistent with the broader depression literature.

Startle
One cohort to date (see Table 3) has examined fear-potentiated startle. Startle magnitude predicted risk status among second generation offspring, but among third generation offspring, this was only predictive among females (Grillon et al., 2005). Startle is a relatively inexpensive biological measure that has been underutilized to date.

Genes

Genetic results deriving from one LHR have been published (see Table 3), focusing on serotonin, a neurotransmitter posited to contribute to risk for depression, and oxytocin, a neuropeptide that functions to facilitate social affiliation and is also linked to mood. Beginning with the influential Caspi paper in 2003 (Caspi et al., 2003), much attention has been given to gene-by-environment interactions between stressful life events and reductions in transcription levels among individuals with a short (ss or sL) allele due to a polymorphism of the promoter region (5-HTTLPR) of the serotonin transporter gene (SLC6A4). The serotonin transporter impacts the production of a protein that moves serotonin from the synaptic gap. Current understanding of exactly how this may influence risk for depression is unknown, as replication has proven difficult (Risch et al., 2009). At best, evidence suggests that the 5-HTT polymorphism may play a moderating effect on the stress diathesis, particularly when experienced early in life (Hammen et al., 2010). Indeed, HR girls homozygous for the s allele produced more prolonged levels of cortisol than girls with an l allele (Gotlib et al., 2008).

Oxytocin has also been explored as a putative mechanism in MDD given its documented stress-attenuating effects (e.g., (Scantamburlo et al., 2007)). Phasic oxytocin has been shown to interact with responsiveness of the HPA axis in rats (Neumann, 2002), and low concentrations of oxytocin are associated with adverse early parenting environments (e.g., (Heim et al., 2008)).
Indeed, HR girls heterozygous for the oxytocin rs2254298 (OXTR) polymorphism who were also exposed to early adversity demonstrated the highest levels of depression and anxiety (Thompson et al., 2011). Genetic research in LHR studies, although still in its infancy, is consistent with the broader depression literature in implicating 5HTTLPR and OXTR as putative mechanisms between stress and depression outcomes.

Sleep

Two LHR cohorts have included measures of sleep (see Table 3). Polysomnography (PSG) records biophysiological changes that occur during sleep including EEG measures of the brain, eye movements, muscle activity, and heart rhythm. Sleep disruptions have long been associated with depressive disorder (Benca et al., 1992; Breslau et al., 1996; Buysse et al., 2008) and offer an additional avenue for the study of endophenotypes given the influence genes have on sleep architecture (e.g., (Kimura & Winkelmann, 2007)). When sleep was measured via actigraphy and self-reported sleep quality, HR girls reported poorer sleep quality than LR girls; however, no differences in actigraphy were detected, suggesting that HR girls may perceive parallel levels of sleep as less qualitatively satisfying than their LR counterparts (Chen et al., 2012).

EEG was used to explore sleep rhythm within one cohort (Morehouse et al., 2002). Temporal coherence, as measured through EEG, can be interpreted to reflect a breakdown in the synchrony and organization of sleep rhythms. Indeed, temporal coherence was significantly lower among the HR girls when compared to control. What is more, coherence values correctly classified 70% of the HR girls. Forty-one percent of the girls with the most abnormal coherence values either showed symptoms of depression or met diagnostic criteria upon follow-up.
Published investigations with LHR cohorts in the domain of sleep are few, but preliminary evidence from two cohort studies suggests that sleep abnormalities may improve prediction of who is at greatest risk for future depression.

Birth

Low Birth Weight

Only one cohort (see Table 3) has been used to publish data on birth weight as it relates to risk for depression. Results suggest an interactive relation between risk status and birth weight in predicting offspring depression (Nomura et al., 2007). Eighty-one percent of HR youth who also had low birth weight, defined as weight less than 2.5 kg, developed MDD. Across both HR and LR groups, low birth weight was associated with increased risk of MDD, anxiety disorders, suicidal ideation, and impaired functioning. Several theories exist that attempt to explain how low birth weight is related to increased risk for depression, including decreased prenatal nutrition, maternal nutrition, and brain development, and increased maternal stress and drug use; however, this area has been understudied within LHR design and overall this mechanism is not well understood.

Discussion

Results from our review suggest several consistencies across cohorts and ways in which findings previously only observed among acutely ill individuals may be identifiable prior to onset of disorder. Existing findings provide support for BIRD in suggesting that biological measurements may tap system dysfunction that can be measured through various forms of biological assessment. Several opportunities exist to capitalize on existing findings as they fall along the gene-by-environment heritability continuum to expand the applicability and usefulness
of LHR studies. We suggest the following approaches, pointing to relevant examples that have not yet reached general usage. We note that the nature of retrospective review limits the bandwidth of what can be covered and conclude with clinical implications.

Recommendations

Combine modalities

Combining data across modalities may contribute to the demarcation of “biosignatures” of disorder in LHR studies. Indeed, within the Weissman cohort, anatomical MRI and resting EEG were combined to reveal correlations in regions where alpha asymmetry was greatest, in the medial and parietal lobes. Interestingly, cortical thinning did not mediate the relation between risk and alpha asymmetry (Bruder et al., 2012). Less cortical activity, reflected in greater alpha power, was associated with greater cortical thinning, particularly in the right posterior cortex. No other published LHR studies to date have examined relations across brain imaging modalities.

Cross-dataset collaboration

Similar to our suggestion to combine modalities, cross-dataset collaboration also would advance the field as challenges to conducting LHR studies include the expense and dedication required to retain the cohort and the fact that onset of illness can occur a decade or longer after enrollment. These difficulties mean there are few well-characterized longitudinal studies of risk and that sample sizes is limited. The relatively small sample sizes of LHR cohorts in comparison to other studies of biological measures makes replication efforts even more important. Combining data across cohorts has been an underutilized technique to date. Recently, a composite dataset of 2,307 youth from multiple studies (not all HR, therefore not reviewed
above) was used to examine the specific symptoms of appetite and weight gain in adolescent depression (Cole et al., 2012). Analyses of this composite dataset revealed trends that could not have been detected in individual datasets, demonstrating the utility of this method as well as the feasibility of investigators cooperating on such a project.

Assess reliability of identified risk factors

One proposed criterion of putative biomarkers is reliability over time and reproducibility (Ritsner & Gottesman, 2009). Most LHR studies have not yet demonstrated that findings are reliable over time. Nonetheless, data collection within several of these cohorts is ongoing and this could be emphasized further.

Examine sex differences

One understudied area among LHR cohorts is the effect of sex or gender on identified putative biological mechanisms. Patterns of risk may differ between the sexes, and this is compounded by the increases in prevalence rates for depression among females compared to males that emerge during adolescents (Nolen-Hoeksema & Girgus, 1994). Moreover, stress-arousal circuitry in the brain includes sexually dimorphic regions which can be influenced by fetal programming (Goldstein, 2006), making the examination of sex differences in the transmission of depression particularly warranted.

Examine hormones

Decreased growth hormone (GH) secretion following pharmacologic stimulation has been observed among individuals with depression (Dinan, 1998). Birmaher and colleagues (Birmaher et al., 2000) examined GH within a HR population (excluded due to mixed methods in
deriving HR sample). No differences were detected at baseline; however, after an infusion of growth hormone releasing hormone (GHRH), irregularities in GH secretion were detected. Both the HR and LR groups demonstrated increased GH secretion, but the HR group produced significantly less, consistent with findings among acutely depressed children and adolescents (Birmaher et al., 1997). These findings suggest a stable, trait-dependent marker for those at risk for developing depression, and as the authors suggest, warrant longitudinal study. Sex hormones such as estrogens and progestins influence individual neurons in addition to the structure and activity of the neuronal circuits and are worthy of more attention within LHR studies (for a review of pubertal neuromaturation please see (Walker et al., 2004).

Reanalyze data to study dimensionality

Many LHR studies gathered rich data regarding early life from multiple informants (family factors, prenatal health, etc.) that could be analyzed to fit dimensions of mood disorders. MDD is a broad phenotype that likely captures multiple and overlapping symptomatology deriving from distinct and overlapping biological mechanisms. This approach is in line with the NIMH’s strategic plan supporting the study of psychopathology from a dimensional framework (Research Domain Criteria, RDoC; (Cuthbert & Insel, 2010)) and with a growing literature conceptualizing MDD as occurring along a spectrum of affective disorders (Cardoso de Almeida & Phillips, 2013). The tradeoff of studying domains of function across disorders is that specificity between findings and disorder is reduced. However, many LHR cohorts include dimensional measures of symptoms of psychopathology and rich measurement from early life that could be analyzed with genetic data. Perhaps efforts to combine dimensional data across
cohorts for genetic studies that require larger sample sizes would aid in the examination of domains of function and dysfunction in mental illness.

Study networks underlying risk rather than Regions of Interest (ROIs)

Neuroimaging research conducted among LHR studies suggests that there are important ROIs for translational treatment studies. However, examinations of network level dysfunction have not been maximized to date. To this point, a recent meta-analysis documented the large proportion of studies documenting differences between healthy individuals and those with MDD in the default mode network (DMN) (Hamilton et al., 2011). As such, investigations of network dysfunction are likely to be more illustrative and descriptive in mapping risk at the level of the brain. One specific method for examining patterns across ROIs involves pattern recognition, which has recently been used to identify those at risk. For example, one study of youth at risk for bipolar disorder during an emotional faces paradigm was analyzed using Gaussian Process Classifiers (GPC) and was able to differentiate between those who would go on to develop bipolar disorder from those who would stay well with 75% accuracy (Mourao-Miranda et al., 2012).

Examine the biological substrates of positive affect

One way to utilize an RDoC approach in LHR studies is to examine domains such as positive valence systems. To date, the substrates of individual responses to negative affect has led to results implicating the salience of negative information for those at risk for depression. Many investigators have noted that low positive emotionality may increase risk of depression by decreasing reactivity to positive reinforcers and rewards (Durbin et al., 2005; Kovacs & Lopez-Duran, 2010); however, compared to the examination of negative affect, much less data has been
gathered regarding the role of reduced positive affect in risk for depression. Disparities between HR and LR populations in positive affect have been documented in very young children (Forbes et al., 2004), but the biological underpinnings of these disparities have not yet been adequately explored. Examining positive affect within a LHR study could be helpful in designing prevention programs designed to enhance at-risk individual’s ability to engage in and sustain positive emotion across development, particularly through the passage of periods of vulnerability.

Continue examining genetic mechanisms, particularly in combination with experience, especially life stress

In a pilot study, Weissman and colleagues examined the promoter region of the serotonin transporter and found that 5HTTLPR genotype varied with risk status, in that the observed rates of individuals with a ss genotype was more than four times higher in the HR offspring compared to LR offspring (Talati et al., 2013). In comparing these rates to that of the general population, however, evidence emerged that the LR offspring demonstrated lower rates of the ss genotype, rather than the HR group having a higher prevalence of the ss genotype. These findings lend support to the hypothesis that genetic risk alone is not sufficient to catapult a HR individual into disease; rather, it is the interaction of genetic susceptibility with greater exposure to life stressors that ultimately leads to illness.

Investigate Tryptophan depletion, which offers a unique window into risk

Five-hydroxy-L-tryptophan (L-5HTP) depletion studies offer a unique opportunity to examine serotonergic precursors (e.g., (Delgado et al., 1994)). For example, Birmaher and colleagues (Birmaher et al., 1997) found that following L-5HTP infusion, HR children and
depressed children had similar responses, secreting significantly less cortisol regardless of sex. These authors were not able to demonstrate differences specific to HR, but other cross-sectional HR and MDD studies show cognitive and affective changes with tryptophan depletion (Benkelfat et al., 1994; Neumeister et al., 2004).

Start with the second generation.

Finally, we offer one practical recommendation. Rather than starting with one cohort and following offspring over several generations, a targeted sample of HR and LR individuals would benefit from beginning with a sample of adults in their thirties and seek to interview participants' parents as well as their offspring as they are born, thereby shortening necessary follow-up in a time of relative fiscal restraint.

A final note highlights the importance of the developmental continuum in understanding mechanisms of risk in the intergenerational transmission of depression. The brain circuitry and networks underlying these biological mechanisms are often not fully instantiated prior to the development of the first depressive episode. As such, child and adolescent development may not ever reach prototypic levels of functioning observed in LR cohorts. This is both an opportunity and a challenge. LHR cohorts may be inherently different in many ways that underlie the disorder, risk for the disorder, as well as abnormal compensation to address HR environments or aberrant biological mechanisms. Therefore, differences between HR and LR may be highly variable and some of them may even be adaptive. The use of LHR designs, then, is one way of defining which biological mechanisms indicate risk and which may represent facets of possible resilience.

Limitations
Our review is based on relatively narrow inclusion and exclusion criteria. As such, many interesting studies were excluded, but this weakness is offset by strengths such as the increased reliability of findings. For example, we excluded studies that relied exclusively on family history methods of interview as this method is known to be less reliable (Orvaschel et al., 1982). Rao (Rao et al., 2009; Rao et al., 2009a, 2009b, 2010) has published several LHR findings that are consistent with results we describe here, which may render our exclusion criteria overly conservative. Nonetheless, we think that using family history methods can introduce many false negatives and underpowered studies, so we did not include these publications in the current review. In addition, we excluded studies that defined HR using stress and personality measurement, such as those by Goodyer and colleagues (Goodyer et al., 2010) who defined HR based on high levels of life-stress and neuroticism. Again, this stemmed from our desire to examine biological mechanisms in the intergenerational familial transmission of MDD as a more prescriptive phenotype, as opposed to a broader phenotype that may derive from life events or other environmental factors. This strategy can tend to minimize environmental contributions, enhancing study of genetic and heritable risks. Finally, our longitudinal follow-up criterion led to the exclusion of several cross-sectional studies, or studies that have not yet reported longitudinal follow-up (e.g. (Monk et al., 2008)). It is noteworthy that these studies generally confirm findings from LHR studies. We propose that our focus on biological measures in LHR provides the most reliable, homogeneous, and conservative markers on which to base future studies and link important clinical outcomes.

In addition to the strategies by which we included and excluded studies, the LHR studies we review are not without limitation. First, it is difficult to balance demographics when recruiting these highly selected samples. Some cohorts may be biased by significant differences
in variables such as pubertal status, sex, or socioeconomic status (SES). Although these factors are often included as statistical covariates, these factors may importantly interact with biological risk and could, by themselves, offer fruitful avenues for mechanistic studies. In addition, some LR populations may actually represent "super-controls" or resilient individuals. For example, Gotlib’s LR sample consists of adolescent girls who have no psychopathology at study entry, which may not be representative of a more generalizable LR cohort deriving from the general community. While these groups offer an acceptable contrast for exploratory findings, in order for identified risk factors to be used effectively for prevention, they must discriminate HR individuals from the broader spectrum of vulnerability to resilience, an important strength of LHR designs. Lastly, LHR studies are often limited by smaller sample sizes, making well-powered investigations challenging.

Conclusion and Clinical Applications

LHR studies have contributed much to the literature regarding risk, onset, and progression of MDD across the lifespan. The inclusion of biological methods in these studies has resulted in a strong foundation from which future studies can be built. The time is ripe for new investigations designed to further explore these biological mechanisms. Perhaps the most important future research direction involves targeting identified risk factors through prevention studies. Biomarkers of vulnerability are not deterministic (Beauchaine et al., 2008), rather these systems are often malleable and provide fruitful targets for prevention and intervention. Blanket prevention programs are inefficient and plagued by low effect sizes due to low rates of actual conversion to disorder. The inclusion of biomarkers of risk may lead to enhanced program efficiency by targeting those with greatest risk. For example, accurately being able to identify
those at “ultra-high-risk,” or at least at more proximal risk, could protect a vulnerable adolescent from the lifelong negative sequelae of depression. It is noteworthy that the majority of groups conducting LHR studies have advanced to pilot novel interventions targeting identified risks. For example, Peterson and colleagues have launched a study recruiting adolescents at risk for depression by nature of parental depression as well as cortical thinning upon baseline scan. This group is testing whether delivering cognitive behavior therapy (CBT) to these “ultra-high-risk” individuals can ameliorate cortical thinning and/or protect adolescents from MDD onset. In addition, Gotlib’s group has been testing the effectiveness of real-time neurofeedback. Here, HR girls are instructed to dampen their amygdala activity in response to negative emotion by focusing on positive experiences. Preliminary results indicate that successful neurofeedback reduces biological reactivity to external stressors as measured with psychophysiological outcomes such as heart rate and skin conductance one week later (Gotlib, 2013). These studies highlight the importance of studying the neural correlates of risk for depression among adolescence while the brain continues to develop, particularly in regions involved in the cognitive control of emotion including the prefrontal cortex (e.g., (Luna et al., 2001)).
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Appendix A


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary Investigator/University</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Recruitment</th>
<th>Diagnostic Interviews</th>
<th>Longitudinal Follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dawson</td>
<td>117 mothers and their infant offspring</td>
<td>Community recruitment of mothers</td>
<td>Mothers = SCID Offspring = DISC-P</td>
<td>5 years, 4 assessments: offspring age 24 months, 3.5 years, 4.5 years, and 6.5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Washington</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gotlib</td>
<td>Overall sample of 200 offspring, varies by measure</td>
<td>Clinic and community recruitment focused on adolescent girls but accomplished by advertisements directed towards mothers</td>
<td>Mothers = SCID Daughters = K-SADS-PL</td>
<td>Every 18 months through offspring age 21–22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kovacs</td>
<td>Up to 219 offspring depending on measure</td>
<td>Clinic and community recruitment of parents with depression</td>
<td>Parents = SCID Offspring = K-SADS-PL and K-SADS-YA</td>
<td>Annually through age 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Pittsburgh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kutcher</td>
<td>83 offspring</td>
<td>Clinic and community recruitment of parents with offspring</td>
<td>Mothers = SCID Daughters = K-SADS-PL</td>
<td>5 years, every 6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dalhousie University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garber</td>
<td>240 mothers and their offspring, smaller cohort in EEG study (see Table 3)</td>
<td>Schools—letters sent to parents</td>
<td>Parents = SCID Adolescents = K-SADS-E</td>
<td>Annually for at least 2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanderbilt University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weissman</td>
<td>182 offspring in 2nd generation, 137 in 3rd generation</td>
<td>Clinic and community recruitment of adults with offspring</td>
<td>Both parents and offspring: SADS Lifetime Version</td>
<td>6 waves across 30 years: baseline (wave 1), 2 year (wave 2), 10 year (wave 3), 17 year (wave 4), 20 year (wave 5), 30 year (wave 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yale University &amp; Columbia University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: DISC = Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children; KSADS = various versions of the Kiddie-Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia; SADS = Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia; SCID = Structured Clinical Interview.
## Table 2. Biological Methods by Cohort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary Investigator</th>
<th>MRI</th>
<th>EEG</th>
<th>Genetics</th>
<th>Sleep</th>
<th>Startle</th>
<th>Birth weight</th>
<th>HPA</th>
<th>Cardio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dawson</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Six scalp locations: F3, F4, P3, P4, T3, T4; International 10–20 system. EEG was recorded on a Grass Neurodata Acquisition System (Model 12).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cortisol radio immunoassay kits from Pantex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The Porges-Bohrer moving polynomial algorithm estimates respiratory sinus arrhythmia (RSA), which is a measure of vagus nerve activity or vagal tone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gotlib</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>OXTR; Oxytocin receptor gene polymorphism (rs2254298)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5HTTPLR; serotonin-transporter-linked polymorphic region (SLC6A4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cortisol via Salivettes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Biopac and ECG using modified lead II configuration scored with Mindware</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kovacs</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EEG was recorded at 12 pairs of sites—F3, F4, F7, F8, C3, C4, T7, T8, P3, P4, O1, and O2—using an electrode cap (Electro-Cap International)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RSA was computed using Fast Fourier transform analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kutcher</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>C3 and C4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Polysonomographic data (Melville Diagnostics Sandman System)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garber</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fifteen scalp locations from the 10 to 20 system were used: F3, F4, F7, F8, T3, T4, T5, T6, P3, P4, C3, C2, C4, Pz, and Fz.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Investigator</td>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>Genetics</td>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td>Startle</td>
<td>Birth weight</td>
<td>HPA</td>
<td>Cardio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weissman</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5 T scanner (Siemens Sonata)</td>
<td>12 electrodes—F3, F4, F7,F8, C3,C4, T7,T8,P3,P4, P7, P8— using an electrode cap (Electro Cap International)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; EEG = electroencephalography; HPA = Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis; T = Tesla; RSA = Respiratory sinus arrhythmia*
Table 3: Detailed results from each manuscript deriving from a HR cohort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paper</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>Sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total N (mean age or age range); n within risk group (age within risk group where available)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI):</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen, et al., (2010)</td>
<td>N=55 (9–15); 23 HR (12.76), 32 LR (12.90)</td>
<td>Total brain volume did not differ; however, ROI analyses using VBM found less gray matter density in bilateral posterior regions.</td>
<td>Gotlib</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gotlib, et al., (2010)</td>
<td>N=26 (10–14); 13 HR, 13 LR</td>
<td>HR daughters characterized by marked reductions in striatal activation during the anticipation and the receipt of reward. During gain anticipation, HR daughters exhibited higher right insula activation than did LR daughters. During punishment, HR greater activation in dACC than LR, who demonstrated greater activation in the caudate and putamen.</td>
<td>Gotlib</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joormann, et al., (2012)</td>
<td>N=47; Females only (9–14); 20 HR, 27 LR</td>
<td>During the mood induction, HR girls exhibited greater activation than LR in amygdala and VLPFC. During mood regulation, LR exhibited greater activation than did HR in the DLPFC and dACC.</td>
<td>Gotlib</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peterson, et al., (2009)</td>
<td>N=131; 66 HR (33.3), 65 LR (24.8)</td>
<td>The average reduction in cortical thickness in the lateral aspect of the right hemisphere of the HR group was a 28% reduction in average cortical thickness. Areas of statistically significant cortical thickening in the HR group were detected in the subgenual cortex, anterior and posterior cingulate gyrus, and medial orbitofrontal cortex of the right hemisphere.</td>
<td>Weissman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Electroencephalography (EEG):</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashman, et al., (2008)</td>
<td>N=159 (6.5), 133 HR, 26 LR</td>
<td>Offspring of chronically depresses mothers demonstrated reduced frontal brain activation (EEG power).</td>
<td>Dawson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Table 3: Detailed results from each manuscript deriving from a HR cohort</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bruder, et al., (2005)</strong></td>
<td>N=87 (8–50); 18 two parents MDD, 40 one parent MDD, 29 LR</td>
<td>2 MDD parent group showed greater medial alpha asymmetry, with less relative activity than 1 MDD parent group. Less relative frontal activity at lateral sites was associated with lifetime MDD in offspring with MDD but not with parents with MDD.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bruder, et al. (2007)</strong></td>
<td>N=49 (7–17); 19 HR parent and grandparent MDD, 14 HR 1 parent/grandparent MDD, 16 LR</td>
<td>HR grandchildren displayed a parietal alpha asymmetry similar to that seen in adolescents or adults with MDD.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dawson, et al., (1997)</strong></td>
<td>N=117 (13.7m); 23 postnatal MDD, 31 prenatal and postnatal MDD, 63 LR</td>
<td>HR infants were found to have reduced left frontal EEG activity. HR infants exhibited reduced left frontal EEG activity when compared to infants of mothers with subthreshold depression.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dawson, et al., (1999a)</strong></td>
<td>N=117 (13.7m); 54 HR, 63 LR</td>
<td>In infants with depressed mothers, reduced left frontal EEG correlated with lower levels of affection for mother but not infant temperament. Increased generalized frontal activation was related to higher levels of negative affect, hostility, tantrums, and aggression.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dawson, et al., (1999b)</strong></td>
<td>N=99; 59 HR (14.0), 40 LR (13.9)</td>
<td>HR infants were found to have reduced left, relative to right, activity during interactions with mothers and experimenters.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dawson, et al., (2001)</strong></td>
<td>N=159 (13–15m); 90 HR, 69 LR</td>
<td>HR infants demonstrated reduced left, relative to right, frontal brain activity in all conditions.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dawson, et al., (2003)</strong></td>
<td>N=124 (39.8 m); 65 HR, 59 LR</td>
<td>HR children whose mothers had chronic depression exhibited lower frontal and parietal brain activity as compared to HR mothers in remission and LR children. Frontal brain activation and contextual risk level were mediators between maternal depression and behavior problems.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Feng, et al., (2011)</strong></td>
<td>N=73 (6–13); 43 HR, 30 LR</td>
<td>Results suggest that right frontal EEG asymmetry is consistent across situations and may be a marker of depression vulnerability.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Forbes, et al., (2006)</strong></td>
<td>N=57 (3–9); 41 HR, 16 LR</td>
<td>HR with greater relative left frontal activity had higher levels of internalizing and externalizing problems.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Detailed results from each manuscript deriving from a HR cohort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>HR</th>
<th>LR</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>Author</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lopez-Duran, et al., (2012)</td>
<td>N=33 (6–10)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>HR children displayed greater relative right lateral frontal activation than LR during emotional film clips. Among HR, greater relative left lateral frontal activation moderated the association between stressful life events and internalizing symptoms.</td>
<td>Kovacs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perez-Edgar, et al., (2006)</td>
<td>N=74 (3–9)</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>HR was significantly slower in reaction times on affective Posner task. HR group demonstrated larger P2 amplitudes to valid, relative to invalid, cues in frontal and central sites. LR group showed large mean amplitudes for valid trials, while HR showed large for the invalid trials, producing a large “reverse-validity” affect, most pronounced in frontal and parietal sites.</td>
<td>Kovacs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomarken, et al. (2004)</td>
<td>N=38</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>HR adolescents demonstrated a pattern of relative left frontal hypoactivity when compared to LR adolescents.</td>
<td>Garber</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ENDOCRINE SYSTEM**

**HPA Axis:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>HR</th>
<th>LR</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>Author</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ashman, et al., (2002)</td>
<td>N=74 (7–8)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Exposure to maternal depression in the first 2 years of life was the best predictor of elevations in baseline cortisol at age 7.</td>
<td>Dawson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dawson, et al., (2001)</td>
<td>N=159 (13–15m)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>HR infants demonstrated higher heart rates across all conditions.</td>
<td>Dawson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gotlib, et al., (2008)</td>
<td>N=67 (9–14)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Girls homozygous for s allele produced higher and more prolonged levels of cortisol in response to a lab stressor than girls with an l allele.</td>
<td>Gotlib</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waugh, et al., (2012)</td>
<td>N=81 (9–14)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Among HR, decreased positive affect predicted increased cortisol reactivity, whereas increased negative affect was associated with poorer heart rate recovery and habituation.</td>
<td>Gotlib</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 3: Detailed results from each manuscript deriving from a HR cohort**

### AUTONOMIC NERVOUS SYSTEM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Startle:</th>
<th>Generation 2 HR offspring increased startle magnitude to fear-potentiated startle test. Generation 3 HR offspring this pattern held in females only.</th>
<th>Weissman</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grillon, et al., (2005)</td>
<td>N=108; 68 HR (34.9), 40 LR (35.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heart Rate:</th>
<th>Offspring of chronically depressed mothers demonstrated higher respiratory sinus arrhythmia reactivity.</th>
<th>Dawson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forbes, et al., (2006)</td>
<td>N=57 (3–9); 41 HR, 16 LR</td>
<td>Gotlib</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentzler, et al., (2012)</td>
<td>N=135 (6–13); 90 HR, 45 LR</td>
<td>Kovacs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waugh, et al., (2012)</td>
<td>N=81 (9–14); 30 HR (11.9), 51 LR (11.8)</td>
<td>Gotlib</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### GENES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gotlib, et al., (2008)</th>
<th>Girls homozygous for s allele or serotonin transporter produced higher and more prolonged levels of cortisol in response to a lab stressor than girls with an l allele.</th>
<th>Gotlib</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N=55 (9–15); 23 HR (12.76), 32 LR (12.90)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Detailed results from each manuscript deriving from a HR cohort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>HR, LR</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Author</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thompson, et al., (2011)</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>45, 84 LR</td>
<td>HR youth heterozygous for the OXTR rs2254298 polymorphism (oxytocin gene) who also experienced early adversity had highest levels of depression and anxiety.</td>
<td>Gotlib</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen, et al., (2012)</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>20, 24 LR</td>
<td>HR girls reported lower sleep quality, with no significant difference in mood, actigraphy diary measured sleep, or sleep latency.</td>
<td>Gotlib</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morehouse, et al., (2002)</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>41, 40 LR</td>
<td>Temporal coherence was significantly lower among the HR girls than in controls. Additionally, 41% of those identified as having the most aberrant coherence values showed symptoms of depression or met diagnostic criteria.</td>
<td>Kutcher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nomura, et al., (2007)</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>162, 82 LR</td>
<td>Offspring with low birth weight were at increased risk for MDD and other psychopathologies; this effect was stronger among the HR group.</td>
<td>Weissman</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** ROI = Region of Interest; VBM = Voxel-based morphometry; SLF = superior longitudinal fasciculus; MDD = Major Depressive Disorder; HR = High Risk; LR = Low Risk; RSA = Respiratory Sinus Arrhythmia, dACC = dorsal anterior cingulate cortex; VLPFC = ventro-lateral prefrontal cortex; DLPFC = dorso-lateral prefrontal cortex
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Highlights:

- Longitudinal research is critical for understanding development of depression
- Heritable phenotypes independent of illness state represent endophenotypes
- Brain-based measures suggest abnormality in emotion processing and cognitive control
- BIRD model offers greater focus on interactive effects of biomarkers for depression
- Model and review of LHR studies provide understanding and direction for research
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