Merging isotopes and community genomics in a siliceous sinter-depositing hot spring
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[1] Thermophilic microbes in hydrothermal ecosystems have multiple metabolic strategies for taking up carbon and nitrogen, which may result in distinct stable isotopic compositions of C and N in living biomass, as well as other biosignatures that accumulate in the geologic record. Biofilms from “Bison Pool” at Yellowstone National Park display large variations in carbon and nitrogen isotopic values as a function of downstream sampling and illustrate the presence of large shifts in ecological functions as temperature decreases. This is the first study to couple isotopic data with community genomic analysis to predict dominant carbon fixation pathways that create hydrothermal biofilm signatures. The results also suggest nitrogen limitation through the chemotrophic zone and nitrogen fixation in the lower-temperature phototrophic zone.


1. Introduction

[2] Biofilms can be described as multispecies microbial communities where microbes selectively settle, limit the settlement of other microbes, store nutrients and energy in polymeric structures (called exopolysaccharides), and permit transfer of genetic material horizontally [Watnick and Kolter, 2000]. Biofilms are now understood as the primary mode of being for microbes in natural environments, with functionality ranging from a survival strategy in the case of external stress to an advantageous and mutually beneficial microbial association [Watnick and Kolter, 2000; Pulcini, 2001; Jefferson, 2004; Nikolaev and Plakunov, 2007; Justice et al., 2008]. Biofilms are common in aquatic ecosystems, and those in hot spring outflow channels can exhibit abrupt shifts in microbial composition and biogeochemical processes as temperature and chemical composition change. In fact, as temperature and chemical composition change down a hot spring outflow channel, many biofilms exhibit dramatic changes in density, texture, and pigmentation. One characteristic that is similar among all chemotrophic and phototrophic biofilms in siliceous-sinter depositing hot springs is that they are composed primarily of inorganic constituents (>75% dry weight amorphous silica) intimately interwoven with microbial biomass [Havig, 2009].

[3] The compositions of biofilm communities are influenced by the environment in which they live in and how they uptake and use elements such as carbon and nitrogen. For decades, researchers have studied carbon fixation [Castenholz, 1969; Brock, 1978; Estep, 1984; Macko and Estep, 1984; Madigan et al., 1989; van der Meer et al., 2000a, 2000b; Jahnke et al., 2001; Hugler et al., 2007; Boyd et al., 2009] and nitrogen fixation [Wickstrom, 1980; Estep and Macko, 1984; Steunou et al., 2006] by thermophilic microbial communities, as well as community genomics [Stahl et al., 1985; Barns et al., 1994; Ferris et al., 1996; Reyesnbach et al., 2000; Blank et al., 2002; Meyer-Dombard et al., 2005; Ward et al., 2006]. The next step is to directly connect geochemical analyses with community genomics.

[4] Carbon isotopes are fractionated depending upon the carbon fixation pathway used by autotrophic microorganisms. Nitrogen isotopic values of biofilms are driven by several factors, depending upon the source of nitrogen, and whether there is nitrogen limitation or N₂ fixation. Changes can be dramatic as documented by Estep [1984] and Estep and Macko [1984], which are summarized in Figure 1 along with other carbon and nitrogen isotopic data from more recent literature sources [van der Meer et al., 2000a; van der Meers et al., 2001; van der Meer et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2004; van der Meer et al., 2005; van der Meer et al., 2007]. The data in Figure 1 show the fractionation of carbon isotopes and the nitrogen isotopic composition plotted against temperature for biofilms collected from hot springs in Yellowstone National Park. Carbon isotopic values are given as isotopic ratios of ¹³C to ¹²C from the equation \( \left[ \frac{13C}{12C} \right] \times 1000 \).
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2. Field Site

The hot spring investigated in this study is located in Sentinel Meadows, which covers the northwestern portion of the Lower Geyser Basin, Yellowstone National Park, Wyoming (Figure 2). This low-lying meadow system is bounded to the west and north by the 0.108 Ma West Yellowstone Flow rhyolite [Christiansen, 2001]. The meadow features units of siliceous sinter-forming cones, terraces, and aprons around active and inactive hot spring areas, diatomaceous silt deposited from cooling outflow discharge regions of hot springs, and fine-grained humic alluvium that overlies sand and gravel. The meadow is covered by grasses, rushes, wildflowers, and other vegetation. Sentinel Creek passes through and drains the meadow, emptying into the Firehole River to the east. Active hot springs in Sentinel Meadows are typically associated with built-up mounds of silica sinter, boiling at the source, with outflow channels that discharge hydrothermal water with behavior that ranges from continuous and surging to periodic. Inactive hot springs are marked by silica sinter deposits.

“Bison Pool” is a hot spring located in the northern part of Sentinel Meadows, north of Sentinel Creek and northwest of Flat Cone Spring [Meyer-Dombard et al., 2005]. This circum-neutral, boiling, silica-depositing hot spring has a single vent that exhibits a continuous and gently surging outflow discharging into a single channel that empties into the meadow. “Bison Pool” sits atop a low, broad mound made up of silica sinter that is topographically higher (~2 m) than the surrounding meadow. The source pool is ringed by scolloped silica precipitates and geyserite, silica deposits bound the upper portions of the outflow channel, and the pool and outflow channel have a hard-silica lining that forms an apparently impermeable boundary. The source and outflow channel support filamentous and mat-forming microbial communities ranging from strictly chemotrophic at the higher temperatures (~68°C) to photosynthetic at the lower temperatures. The hydrothermal water is
dominated by dissolved silica, Na$^+$, Cl$^-$, and bicarbonate. Temperatures at “Bison Pool” range from 93.3°C at the source (boiling at the elevation of the meadow) to $\sim$68°C at the transition from filamentous chemotrophic to phototrophic communities and continues cooling throughout the photosynthetic mats.

A map of “Bison Pool” and nearby features with sample locations from field work conducted in 2005 and 2006 and a panoramic image showing sampling locations from 2005 are shown in Figure 3. The vegetation (in green) includes grasses (Dichanthelium lanuginosum, Agrostis scabra, Panicum capillare), rushes (Juncus tweedyi), and monkey flower (Mimulus guttatus), as well as various other vascular plant species and mosses. White indicates brecciated siliceous sinter presumably deposited by the outflow channel in the past. The source and upper parts of the hot spring outflow channel shown in blue-gray represent the portions where only chemotrophic communities exist. The downstream portion of the outflow channel shown in yellow, and then orange, represents areas where phototrophic communities exist. Yellow represents biofilms that have yellow surfaces and underlying green layers (yellow-green), and orange indicates biofilms composed of upper orange and lower green layers (orange-green). Water and biofilm samples were collected at sites 1–6 in July 2005 and sites 7–13 in August 2006. On the basis of the presence and absence of pigments, it appears that the transition from strictly chemotrophic to mixed phototrophic and chemotrophic communities occurs between sites 3 and 4 (and 10 and 11) (Figure 3a). The panorama (Figure 3b) was compiled from images taken during the 2005 field campaign and spans approximately 90° from west (left) to north (right).

In general, hot spring biofilms occur primarily as filaments or mats. Filamentous biofilms typically grow as a subaqueous mass that is anchored to a specific location of the pool edge or outflow channel substrate. Mat biofilms cover the bottom of the outflow channel are typically built of several layers and may have filamentous sections growing from the top portion of the mat. Images of biofilm types collected from “Bison Pool” are shown in Figure 4. At the

Figure 2. Yellowstone National Park showing the location of Sentinel Meadows. Produced from map provided by the National Park Service.
hot spring source scalloped silica precipitates form around the pool rim (Figure 4a), and translucent white gel and pinkish to beige filamentous biofilms are found on the edges and undersides of the precipitates (Figure 4b). Downstream chemotrophic communities (Figures 4c–4f) are predominantly filamentous and are pink, beige, pale yellow, or white. The pink filaments (Figure 4c) and yellow filaments (Figure 4d) are found from just upstream of site 2 to halfway between sites 2 and 3 (site 9). Within a 1 m section centered on site 2, thicker and more rigid material (Figure 4e) is patchily distributed along the bottom of the outflow channel. White filaments (Figure 4f) are found from halfway between sites 2 and 3 to the photosynthetic fringe, the narrow zone demarking the transition between strictly chemotrophic communities and mixed phototrophic and chemotrophic communities, between sites 3 and 4. Phototrophic communities (Figures 4g and 4h) are predominantly mat-forming, with filaments, tubules, tablets, sheets, pillars, warty excrescences, slender spines, and other structures often forming at the mat-water interface. Two visually distinct mat colors can be observed in the outflow channel of “Bison Pool” below ~68°C. The yellow-green mat (Figure 4g), which is yellow at the top and green below, is found in the middle of the channel from between sites 3 and 4 to approximately 2 m upstream from site 5. The orange-green mat (Figure 4h), which is orange at the top and green below, is found downstream from the yellow-green mat, and also along the cooler margins of the upper portions of the outflow channel.

3. Sampling Methodology

[10] Representative biofilm samples were collected as close as possible to the location where a corresponding water sample was collected. Biofilms in “Bison Pool” typically cover extensive areas. Therefore, several smaller portions of biofilm were collected at each sample site and combined to obtain representative “bulk” biofilm samples. The goal of this sampling method was to minimize the influence of any local anomalies potentially biasing the sample in terms of maturity, concentration, community structure, or other variations. Water samples were taken from the thalweg of the outflow channel (the deepest continuous line along a watercourse, usually with the highest water velocity). This approach was chosen to minimize local effects of cooling or exchange along the borders of the outflow channel. Whether or not differences in morphology were detected, filamentous biofilm samples
were collected from multiple locations surrounding the water sample site to allow for the possibility that multiple biofilm communities were present. Biofilm communities exhibiting a physical separation from other communities were separately collected.

3.1. Collection Techniques

Biofilms were collected using 150 mm long, milled teflon tweezers that were prepared by acid washing (soaking in a 10% solution of trace element clean nitric acid for 3 days) followed by triple rinsing in 18.2 MΩ deionized (nanopure) H₂O (Barnstead UV Diamond B-pure nanopure water system) prior to the trip and stored for transport in plastic bags. The tweezers were rinsed with local hot spring water followed by nano-pure DI water between collections from different hot spring sites and rinsed with hot spring sample site water between collection of biofilms from the same site. Samples were collected while wearing vinyl gloves and were placed in plastic containers that had been acid washed and triple-rinsed with nanopure H₂O. Typical samples filled half of a 60 mL plastic screw-top jar. Samples for metagenomic analysis were collected concurrently with biofilm samples, placed into 15 mL centrifuge tubes, and immediately stored on ice. Samples were kept on ice the day of collection until they could be stored in a freezer, typically ~6 h later. Following transport to the laboratory, samples for metagenomic analysis were stored at −80°C until DNA extractions were done. Contextual samples (including vegetation or other biomass, rock, soil, and sinter) were collected in either 50 mL centrifuge tubes or plastic bags, labeled,
photographed in place at the time of collection, located using a global positioning instrument, and their locations were marked on maps.

[12] Water was collected from the hot spring using either Pyrex glass media bottles clamped to a collection pole or with long-handled polyethylene dippers (500 ml beakers attached to poles). Collectors were rinsed 3 times with sample water before filling. Water was filtered using 0.8/0.2 µm Supor® syringe filters (Acrodisc® 32 mm PF Syringe Filter with 0.8/0.2 µm Supor® membrane) and collected after flushing the filters with 20 mL of sample to minimize contamination. Aliquots used for analysis of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) were collected in 40 mL amber borosilicate vials with silicone Teflon-lined septa and combined with 1 mL concentrated H2PO4. Samples for dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) analysis were also collected in 40 mL amber borosilicate vials, but with black butyl rubber septa and without acidification. All sample vials were filled to minimize head space and sealed to minimize degassing and atmospheric contamination. Field blanks (18.2 MΩ deionized water transported to the field in 1 L Nalgene bottles) were taken daily using the same equipment and techniques described above. In the field, pH and temperature were measured with a WTW 330i meter and probe, conductivity and temperature with a YSI 30 conductivity meter and dissolved nitrogen species using Hach DR/2400 Portable Spectrometers with either AccuVac® ampuls (for nitrite and nitrate) or AmVer™ Test’n Tube™ reactor/cuvette tubes (for dissolved ammonia).

3.2. Sample Preparation and Analysis

[13] DOC and DIC concentrations in hydrothermal fluids were measured with an OI Analytical Model 1010 Wet Oxidation TOC Analyzer. After being used to quantify concentrations, CO2 obtained following the reaction of sample with either phosphoric acid (DIC) or sodium persulfate (DOC) was used for isotopic analysis by continuous flow into a Thermo Delta Plus Advantage mass spectrometer. Sampling loops of 1, 5, and 25 mL volumes were used depending upon sample carbon content, with larger loops required for low-carbon content samples. Data were standardized using three different working standards of glycine with differing isotopic values (low: δ13C = −39.64‰, δ15N = 1.35‰; mid: δ13C = −8.36‰, δ15N = 27.9‰; and high: δ13C = 15.67‰, δ15N = 51.8‰) that encompass expected isotopic variations. The glycine samples were characterized using USGS40 and USGS41 isotopic reference materials.

[14] Biofilms, soil, and sinter were weighed wet, dried in an oven for 3 days at ~90°C, and then weighed dry. Dried samples were ground with an agate mortar and pestle until uniformly powdered. Samples for C and N were weighed and placed into tin dishes, sealed, and analyzed via an Elemental Analyzer Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometer (EA-IR-MS). A subset of biofilm samples from hot springs throughout Yellowstone that include the range of pH and temperatures seen at the sample site locations were treated with concentrated HCl and analyzed to test for changes in carbon or nitrogen content and δ13C or δ15N values. The results of this test did not show significant changes in these values (values of acid-treated samples were within 5% of untreated sample values). As a consequence, it can be safely assumed that no solid carbonate mineral phases are present in the biofilm samples.

[15] Analytical data reported in Table 1 allow comparisons of isotopic signatures of water, biofilms, and contextual samples and calculations of the carbon isotopic fractionations for biofilms. Dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) are reported as parts per million carbon; C and N mass for biofilms are reported as percent of dry weight of sample.

[16] DNA was extracted following the Joint Genome Institute’s (JGI) genomic DNA extraction protocol (http://my.jgi.doe.gov/general/protocols/DNA_Isolation_Bacterial_CTAB_Protocol.doc), but amending the cell breakage step to include an enzymatic digestion using proteinase K to facilitate biofilm and cell homogenization. Extracted DNA was then shipped on dry ice to JGI for clone library construction and Sanger sequencing. DNA sequence data were analyzed through JGI’s IMG/m annotation pipeline for gene identification and sequence annotation and is publicly available through the IMG/m database (http://img.jgi.doe.gov/m/).

4. Interpretation of Carbon Isotopic Data

[17] Carbon isotopic fractionation for biofilms is calculated from the difference between the biofilm δ13C and DIC δ13C. Carbon δ13C values for samples collected in 2005 and 2006, as well as the difference between DIC δ13C values and biomass δ13C values, expressed as large delta δ13C (Δ13C) values for both years are depicted in Figure 5. The average DIC concentration was 80 ppm in 2005, and 62 ppm in 2006. δ13C values for DIC increase slightly from the source through the outflow, with values at the source just below 0% and values in the photosynthetic zone just above 0‰ in both 2005 and 2006. In both years, DOC concentrations were below 1 ppm. Average DOC δ13C values were approximately −25‰ for both years. Analytical precision increased in 2006 due to improvements of analytical techniques. Contextual samples that could act as sources for DOC when they fall into or are blown into the hot spring, including sinter-derived soil, insects, bisons and excrement, sedges, and meadow soil, all have δ13C values that fall within 5‰ of the average DOC δ13C values.

[18] Biofilm samples collected in 2005 and 2006 demonstrate similar patterns, with the least negative δ13C values at the highest temperatures. Values become more negative down the outflow channel, then become slightly less negative as temperatures drop across the photosynthetic mat. Overall trends for 2005 and 2006 biofilm data exhibit the heaviest/highest values of approximately −5‰ in the temperature range from 93.3°C (the source) to about 80°C. At −80°C, biofilm values decrease to approximately −11‰ to −13‰. The lightest/lowest values, approximately −19‰, occur at the first phototrophic biofilm site (yellow + green mat sites 4 and 11) across the photosynthetic fringe. Samples downstream into the orange + green mat (sites 5, 6, 12 and 13) have values that are heavier than that of the yellow + green mat, ranging between −13‰ and −17‰.

4.1. Carbon Isotope Fractionation by Thermophiles

[19] Context for the fractionations observed for biofilms at “Bison Pool” is provided by experiments conducted with thermophilic microorganisms that use known carbon fixation
pathways from previous work. Several pioneering efforts of this type are summarized in Table 2 and taken together allow predictions of the most likely carbon fixation pathways responsible for the observed isotopic data. Figure 6 shows plots of fractionations reported in the literature organized by carbon fixation pathway and reveal differences among the fractionations produced by autotrophic organisms. Photo-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Sample Water</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Conductivity (µS)</th>
<th>% C (by dw)</th>
<th>SD% C</th>
<th>δ13C (%o)</th>
<th>SD δ13C (‰)</th>
<th>∆13C vs DIC</th>
<th>% N (by dw)</th>
<th>SD%N (%)</th>
<th>δ15N (%o)</th>
<th>Δ15N (‰)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Source</td>
<td></td>
<td>93.3</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>3208</td>
<td>(1.75)</td>
<td>(0.01)</td>
<td>(−4.96)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
<td>(−4.90)</td>
<td>(0.143)</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td>(2.90)</td>
<td>(0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Outflow 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>79.4</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>2900</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>−12.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>−13.2</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Outflow 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>2472</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>−12.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>−13.1</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>6.56</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Outflow 3</td>
<td></td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>2458</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>−16.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>−17.4</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Outflow 4</td>
<td></td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>2232</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>−13.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>−14.0</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Outflow 5</td>
<td></td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>2275</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>−13.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>−14.0</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Source</td>
<td></td>
<td>93.2</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>3520</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>−3.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>−3.69</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Outflow 0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>87.0</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>3333</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>−3.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>−3.69</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Outflow 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>3192</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>−3.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>−3.69</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Outflow 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>2796</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>−3.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>−3.69</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Outflow 3</td>
<td></td>
<td>71.1</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>2860</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>−3.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>−3.69</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Outflow 4</td>
<td></td>
<td>65.6</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>2727</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>−3.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>−3.69</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Outflow 5</td>
<td></td>
<td>59.9</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>2547</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>−3.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>−3.69</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Outflow 6</td>
<td></td>
<td>53.2</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>2372</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>−3.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>−3.69</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4If nsc, no sample collected; stl, signal too low to determine. Samples in parenthesis are considered semiquantitative. Values in bold are fractionations calculated from DIC values of samples collected from the same site but a different day. Water dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) are reported as parts per million carbon; C and N mass for biofilms are reported as percentage of dry weight of sample.
the acetyl coenzyme A pathway (ACP, Figure 6c) produce a large spread of $\Delta^{13}$C values ($-5\%$ to $-28\%$) over a wide temperature range (from 45 to 100°C) in laboratory culture. Microorganisms that use the 3-hydroxypropionate pathway (3HP, Figure 6d) create biomass in culture with $D_{13}C$ fractionations that are less than $-3\%$ at or above 65°C but significantly greater ($-12\%$ to $-14\%$) below 65°C. Note that microorganisms grown at the higher temperatures with the lowest fractionations were chemotrophic, while the cooler temperature, higher fractionating microorganisms were phototrophic.

Using literature values as a guideline, we can predict which carbon fixation pathways are likely to be in use at the various sites of "Bison Pool" biofilms, based on $D_{13}C$ of our samples. A comparison of observed biofilm fractionations with those of cultured hydrothermal microorganisms with known C fixation pathways is shown in Figure 7. The regions where there is overlap between the observed biofilm fractionations (hachured areas) and those of literature values for the known C fixation pathways are assumed to be the best fit. As an example of using this assumption, data from site 1 can be interpreted to indicate that TCA and possibly ACP are the most likely C fixation pathways present. An analogous approach leads to predictions of TCA and ACP for sites 2 and 3, PEP and ACP for site 4, and ACP for site 5. However, combinations of signals from two different C fixation pathways produced by different microorganisms present in the same biofilm may also produce an overall biofilm signal that would not necessarily overlap with the actual pathways represented by monocultures. Possible examples of this include the combination of 3HP and ACP at site 1, and PEP and 3HP at site 5. Although this comparison is helpful for predicting the carbon fixing pathways that are likely to be present in a biofilm, it does not indicate which of the pathways are actually operational in any biofilm sample. For these reasons, we turn to metagenomic analyses of the samples collected in 2005 to identify the presence of genes involved in the various carbon fixation pathways.

### 4.2. Metagenomic Results for Carbon Fixation Pathways

Metagenomic analysis was conducted by JGI for biofilms from 2005 sample sites 1–5. Diagnostic genes used
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>C Fixation Pathway</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}C$ of CO$_2$ (‰)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}C$ of DIC (‰)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}C$ of Biomass (‰)</th>
<th>$\Delta^{13}C$ B (‰)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Literature Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Synechococcus lividus OH-68s</td>
<td>PEP</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>-21.3</td>
<td>-26.6</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Pardue et al. [1976]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synechococcus sp.</td>
<td>PEP</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>-22.5</td>
<td>-22.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Mizutani and Wada [1982]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass (mostly Chromatium tepidum)</td>
<td>PEP</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>-28.7</td>
<td>-26.6</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Madigan et al. [1989]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromatium tepidum</td>
<td>PEP</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>-27.5</td>
<td>-22.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Madigan et al. [1989]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synechococcus lividus OH-53</td>
<td>PEP</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>-20.0</td>
<td>-20.0</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Pardue et al. [1976]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrolobus fumarii</td>
<td>TCA$^d$</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>-26.6</td>
<td>-26.0</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>House et al. [2003]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrodictium occultum</td>
<td>TCA$^d$</td>
<td>102.0</td>
<td>-26.1</td>
<td>-26.0</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>House et al. [2003]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrobaculum aerophilum</td>
<td>TCA</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>-23.3</td>
<td>-21.9</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>House et al. [2003]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquifex aeolicus</td>
<td>TCA</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>-24.4</td>
<td>-21.9</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>House et al. [2003]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermoproteus neutrophilus</td>
<td>TCA</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>-25.7</td>
<td>-24.2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>House et al. [2003]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermococcus ruber OC 1/4</td>
<td>TCA</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>-27.4</td>
<td>-25.5 (0.9)</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Hahnke et al. [2001]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>C Fixation Pathway</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}C$ of CO$_2$ (‰)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}C$ of DIC (‰)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}C$ of Biomass (‰)</th>
<th>$\Delta^{13}C$ B (‰)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Literature Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methanococcus jannaschii</td>
<td>ACP</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>-25.5</td>
<td>-23.5</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>House et al. [2003]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanococcus jannaschii</td>
<td>ACP</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>-26.0</td>
<td>-24.0</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>House et al. [2003]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metallosphaera sedula</td>
<td>ACP</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>-28.0</td>
<td>-26.0</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>House et al. [2003]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfolobus solfataricus</td>
<td>ACP</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>-26.0</td>
<td>-24.0</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>House et al. [2003]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To determine the presence of specific carbon fixation pathways are described in detail by Raymond [2005]. Key enzymes used were ribulose-1,5-biphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (RuBisCO) for PEP, fumarate reductase (frd), ATP citrate lyase (acl), and 2-oxoglutarate:ferredoxin oxidoreductase (GOR) for TCA, malonyl-coenzyme A reductase (mcr) and propionyl-coenzyme A synthase (pcs) for 3HP, and carbon monoxide dehydrogenase (CODH) and acetyl-coenzyme A synthase (acs) for ACP.
Figure 6. Fractionations reported in Table 2 for the four major carbon fixation pathways: (a) pentose phosphate cycle (PEP), (b) reverse tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA), (c) acetyl coenzyme A pathway (ACP), and (d) 3-hydroxypropionate pathway (3HP).

Figure 7. Comparison of observed biofilm $\delta^{13}$C fractionations to values from the literature of cultured hydrothermal microorganisms, grouped by temperatures associated with sites 1–5. Numbers indicate the total number of data points reported in the literature for each pathway at the temperature range shown. Black bars represent the range of values for each pathway with data available. Hachured areas show ranges of values for “Bison Pool” biofilms. Stars indicate a lack of literature data available for that temperature range. PEP, pentose phosphate cycle; TCA, reverse tricarboxylic acid cycle; ACP, acetyl coenzyme A pathway; 3HP, 3-hydroxypropionate pathway.
biofilms, together with measured Clostridia Blank et al. that use the TCA pathway, are commonly XXX Overall occurrence of autotrophic carbon fixation and are coupled with the carbon G01005 Meyer” 2 summarized in Table 3, indicate that several related members of this phylum are present among the hyperthermophilic communities at “Bison Pool” and are in one of two dominant phyla present at site 1. The dominance of Aquificae at site 1 coupled with the carbon fractionation observed at temperatures above ~82°C provide evidence that the TCA pathway is a predominant pathway for C fixation in the highest temperature biofilms.

25 Chemoautotrophs in the biofilms at both sites 2 and 3 fractionate the stable isotopes of carbon by approximately −13‰. Both sites contain evidence for genes encoding enzymes putatively involved in the TCA and ACP pathways; however, the ACP pathway in particular is difficult to infer from metagenomic data because many of the key enzymes are shared with other metabolic pathways and are thus not diagnostic for ACP. Hyperthermophiles and thermophiles that use the ACP pathway include methanogenic Euryarchaeota and a number of Gram-positive bacteria in the phylum Firmicutes, class Clostridia. 16S rRNA gene data from “Bison Pool” suggest a very small fraction of methanogens in the source biofilms and the photosynthetic mats (phylum Euryarchaeota, class Methanomicrobia, sites 1 and 5), though not in the other chemosynthetic or phototrophic communities (Table 3). 16S rRNA gene sequences that are most similar to Clostridia are present in sites 2, 3, and 4 but have not been identified in the chemosynthetic community from site 1. These observations indicate that the source of ACP genes at site 1 may be attributable to Euryarchaeota, and sites 2–4 to

4.3. Discussion of Carbon Uptake Pathways

23 Combining carbon isotopic fractionation data for “Bison Pool” biofilms, together with measured Δ13C fractionation values for thermophilic autotrophic microorganisms that use known carbon fixation pathways, and metagenomic analysis for five biofilm samples makes it possible to identify relative changes in autotrophic CO₂ uptake pathways throughout this hot spring ecosystem. The results show dramatic shifts in how CO₂ uptake occurs between physically associated microbial communities that are sometimes less than a meter apart.

24 At site 1, occupied by biofilms living almost continuously in boiling water, fractionation by autotrophic organisms in the biofilm drives the stable carbon isotope values down by −5‰, and the biofilm yields genetic evidence of the TCA and ACP cycles. Evidence in the literature for small fractionations by microorganisms utilizing TCA and larger fractionations by organisms using ACP at similar temperatures (Figure 6) leads to the prediction that TCA is dominant over ACP for biofilms at the source of “Bison Pool,” although activity measurements would be needed to confirm this. Hyperthermophiles known to use the TCA pathway occur among the phylum Aquificae [Hugler et al., 2007], including the species Hydrogenobacter thermophilus [Shiba et al., 1985] and Aquifex pyrophilus [Beh et al., 1993]. Additionally, Thermocrinis species, also members of the Aquificae that use the TCA pathway, are commonly found by both culturing and culture-independent methods in alkaline hot springs throughout Yellowstone, including “Bison Pool” [Huber et al., 1998; Jahnke et al., 2001; Reysenbach and Cady, 2001; Blank et al., 2002; Meyer-Dombard et al., 2005; Robertson et al., 2005; Hall et al., 2008; Takacs-Vesbach et al., 2008]. 16S rRNA gene data from “Bison Pool,” summarized in Table 3, indicate that several related members of this phylum are present among the hyperthermophilic communities at “Bison Pool” and are in one of two dominant phyla present at site 1. The dominance of Aquificae at site 1 coupled with the carbon fractionation observed at temperatures above ~82°C provide evidence that the TCA pathway is a predominant pathway for C fixation in the highest temperature biofilms.

Table 3. Occurrence of Phyla Based on 16S rRNA Analysisa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phyla</th>
<th>Sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crenarchaeota</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euryarchaeota</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actinobacteria</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquificae</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteroidetes</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorobi</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroflexi</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanobacteria</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deinococcus-Thermus</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firmicutes</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP10</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP11</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteobacteria</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermomicrobia</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermotogae</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a=“X” indicates the presence of microorganisms in the phylum. Archaeal 16S rRNA gene PCR was unsuccessful at site 4.
and that the importance of ACP as the carbon fixation pathway in what was assumed to be a strictly chemotrophic zone during winter months. The presence of PEP genes at site 3 is taken to be a signature of this seasonal encroachment, even though phototrophs may not be active at the time of summer sampling, and the presence of Cyanobacteria was not shown in 16S rRNA gene data (Table 3). This suggests that sampling of biofilms at different times of the year may reveal the dynamic interplay of gene expression and carbon isotopic signals for individual sites.

[27] Autotrophs in the phototrophic biofilm at site 4 fractionate carbon by $-20\%$ and contain genes indicative of the presence of ACP, 3HP, and PEP. There is considerable spread in the literature values for carbon fractionation via these pathways in the temperature range appropriate to this sample (see Figure 6). As a result, it is unclear how to identify whether any one fixation pathway might dominate the biofilm community. Currently available isotopic data suggest that ACP and PEP may be largely responsible for the carbon isotopic composition, but literature data for 3HP are sparse. These findings are consistent with 16S rRNA gene data, which suggest site 4 is dominated by thermophilic Cyanobacteria using PEP (>60% of sequenced clones) and that 3HP-utilizing Chloroflexi are much less abundant as compared to site 5.

Phototrophic mat communities should be excellent targets for efforts to further quantify the numbers of genes present from these three pathways, as well as efforts to observe the direct expression of those genes.

[28] The carbon isotopic fractionation for the site 5 phototrophic biofilm ($-17.4\%$) shows a close match with literature data if PEP is the primary carbon fixation pathway present. This is supported by the metagenomic data that show the presence of PEP genes at site 5. 16S rRNA gene data show considerable phylum level variation at site 5, including strains of Cyanobacteria, Proteobacteria, Chlorobi, Chloroflexi, Aquificae, and Crenarchaeota, among others (Table 3). The lack of other C fixation genes at site 5, however, shows that the diverse PEP-utilizing Cyanobacteria are the key fixers of inorganic carbon in the lower temperature phototrophic mats.

5. Interpretation of Nitrogen Isotopic Data

[29] Biofilm and contextual $\delta^{15}N$ values from Table 1 are shown in Figure 10 for 2005 (Figure 10a) and 2006 (Figure 10b). As in the case of contextual data for carbon shown in Figure 5, nitrogen isotopic data from contextual samples are plotted along the right vertical axes of the plots in Figure 10. With decreasing temperature, nitrogen isotope values for biofilms from 2005 (Figure 10a) exhibit an initial increase from the source ($2.9\%$) to a maximum of $8.5\%$ at site 4, then decrease at sites 5 and 6. This trend is even more pronounced in the 2006 biofilm data shown in Figure 10b, where the increase from sites 7 to 11 reflects the trend observed from sites 1 to 4 in Figure 10a, followed by a decrease to nearly zero for biofilms collected from site 13. It should be noted that values of $\delta^{15}N$ that approach zero are equivalent to the isotopic composition of atmospheric N$_2$.

---

*Firmicutes* and that the importance of ACP as the carbon fixation source for the biofilms at sites 2 and 3 may be attributable to an increase in *Firmicutes* or other ACP-using chemoautotrophs.

[25] Site 3 is unique in the presence of genes indicative of PEP (attributed to photoautotrophic Cyanobacteria) in spite of the apparent lack of photosynthetic pigments at the site. Care was taken to collect samples from biofilms living at locations on the high-temperature side of the photosynthetic fringe. Observations made of “Bison Pool” during January of 2008 may help to explain the presence of a phototrophic-type carbon fixation pathway in what was assumed to be a strictly chemotrophic zone. Figure 9 contains images of site 3 as it appeared in the summer of 2005 at the time of sample collection and again in January of 2008. Drastically colder ambient temperatures during the winter result in a cooling effect that decreases the temperature of the water at site 3 enough for the photosynthetic fringe to migrate up channel toward the hot spring source. The cooling effect is greatly diminished in the summer so that the photosynthetic fringe observed during summer field seasons is maintained from year to year in spite of the annual encroachment of phototrophs well into the apparently strictly chemotrophic zone during winter months. The presence of PEP genes at site 3 is taken to be a signature of this seasonal encroachment, even though phototrophs may not be active at the time of summer sampling, and the presence of Cyanobacteria was not shown in 16S rRNA gene data (Table 3). This suggests that sampling of biofilms at different times of the year may reveal the dynamic interplay of gene expression and carbon isotopic signals for individual sites.

![Image 61x373 to 301x731](image-url)

**Figure 9.** Comparison of “Bison Pool” outflow sample location sites 3 and 4. The white dotted lines mark the same locations in both images for comparison. (a) Sample sites as they looked in the summer of 2005. Note clear photosynthetic fringe present between sample sites 3 and 4. (b) Sample sites as they looked in January of 2008. Note the migration of the photosynthetic fringe above site 3.

---
There are several possible sources of nitrogen for the biofilms, including particulates from aeolian deposition and inorganic solutes. Among the contextual $^{15}$N values those of meadow soil, bison excrement, and insects all fall within approximately $1\%$ of the biofilm values from the "Bison Pool" source (samples 1 and 7). This similarity permits the interpretation that external biological and soil nitrogen is available to the biofilms at the hot spring source. Nitrate at "Bison Pool" is at low concentrations, highest at the source ($5 \times 10^{-5}$ molal) and decreasing down the outflow channel [Havig, 2009]. Nitrite measurements are typically indistinguishable from field blank values, and total ammonia is below detection limits throughout the hot spring system. Although we have not measured the dissolved organic nitrogen, we suspect that concentrations are quite low given the scarcity of dissolved organic carbon.

[30] The low concentrations of nitrogen sources suggest that nitrogen is a limiting nutrient for the biofilms. This may help to explain the initial increase in $^{15}$N of biofilms down the outflow channel. Fractionation of nitrogen isotopes upon the uptake of nitrate is assumed to be negligible [Needoba et al., 2004]. However, in a nitrogen-limited system that depends on nitrate, microbes will take up any nitrate available but preferentially use $^{14}$NO$_3^-$ from the pool of intracellular nitrate. As a result, nitrate that is passively lost from the microbes will be enriched in $^{15}$NO$_3^-$. This effect has been demonstrated in laboratory experiments with phytoplankton using limited nitrate as a sole nitrogen source [Waser et al., 1998; Needoba et al., 2004] and in natural systems [De Brabandere et al., 2007]. In a flowing N-limited system, the $^{15}$N content of nitrate would increase downstream, ultimately leading to increases in the $^{15}$N values from the "Bison Pool" source. One interpretation of the patterns in Figure 10 is that nitrogen limitation drives the $^{15}$N of the biofilms to more and more positive values until just past the photosynthetic fringe, where a lighter nitrogen source is introduced to the system. This source of isotopically lighter N is potentially atmospheric N$_2$, suggesting a dramatic shift in the N cycle of this hot spring ecosystem owing to nitrogen fixation by photosynthetic microorganisms. This scenario leads to two predictions. One of these is that assimilatory nitrate reduction occurs at the highest temperatures, and the other is that N$_2$ fixation only occurs at or below the photosynthetic fringe, as this is the highest temperature location where biofilm $^{15}$N values show a decrease to zero. These predictions can be tested by searching for genes involved in N cycle processes.

Figure 10. Nitrogen stable isotope values and isotopic fractionations in biofilms from “Bison Pool” plotted against temperature, as reported in Table 2. (a) Nitrogen isotope values for biofilms collected in 2005 with contextual samples plotted along the right side. Numbers represent sample locations and correlate to metagenomic analysis. Contextual samples are plotted on the right axis and include plants growing alongside the outflow channel (grasses, sedges), sinter-derived soil (sinter soil), insects found in the outflow channel (insects), bison fur collected near “Bison Pool” (Bison Fur), meadow soil (Soil), and bison excrement collected from near “Bison Pool” (Bison Exc.). Numbers next to biofilm points represent equivalent metagenomic sample identification. The photosynthetic fringe is represented by the shaded bar at approximately 67°C. (b) As in Figure 10a, only for biofilm samples collected in 2006.

Figure 11. Overall occurrence of nitrogen fixation and uptake indicators in “Bison Pool” communities 1–5 based on metagenome analysis. Cross, presence of the given gene; black, greater than 100,000 raw counts; gray, less than or equal to 100,000 raw counts. Genetic code abbreviations are NifH, dinitrogenase reductase; NifD, molybdenum dinitrogenase; NifK, molybdenum dinitrogenase; NifN, molybdenum-iron nitrogenase cofactor; NifB, nitrogenase cofactor; NifX, nitrogenase fixation cofactor; NO3-trans., nitrate transport; Nas, assimilatory nitrate reductase; Nir, Nitrite reductase (assimilatory).
[32] Metagenomic analysis permits searching for genes coding for specific proteins and protein subunits known to be involved with various steps of the nitrogen cycle. As shown in Figure 11, genes involved in nitrate transport, as well as assimilatory nitrate and nitrite reductase genes, are present throughout the microbial ecosystem. It can also be seen in Figure 11 that there is a striking difference between results for biofilms before the photosynthetic fringe, where there is no evidence for nitrogen fixation genes, and biofilms beyond the photosynthetic fringe (between sites 3 and 4), where abundant evidence for nitrogen fixation potential exists (genes from the nitrogenase or Nif operon). As a consequence, the metagenomic data are consistent with the isotopic composition of N in the biofilms and the assessment that nitrogen fixation is limited to the photosynthetic regions of the outflow channel.

6. Concluding Remarks

[33] Isotopic analysis of biofilms from “Bison Pool” shows that, even within a single hot spring system, there is an inherent ecological complexity among biofilms existing at different locations that are closely associated. Coupling the isotopic measurements with published data and metagenomic analysis allows us to predict the carbon fixation pathways that are creating the biofilm signatures and to illustrate the possibility of nitrogen limitation through the chemotrophic zone and nitrogen fixation in the lower temperature phototrophic zone.

[34] It is hoped that this effort to couple carbon and nitrogen isotopic values with metagenomic analyses provides a foundation for additional studies that can elucidate and constrain how biofilm communities adjust to seasonally changes and the resulting alterations in physical and geochemical parameters and how biofilm communities evolve over many years in response to gradual shifts in geochemical and geologic stresses. As a continuously flowing, siliceous sinter depositing hot spring “Bison Pool” serves as an initial starting point for the study of the C and N cycles in other hot springs. It remains to be seen whether hot springs of similar geochemical composition support biofilms with genetically equivalent communities producing isotopic compositions like those observed at “Bison Pool” or whether microbial communities in hot springs are as genetically unique and diverse as macrobiology on isolated islands and thus express unique and differing isotopic compositions.

[35] These results may also shed light on the very presence of biofilms in hot springs. If nitrogen limitation is common for higher temperature chemotrophic biofilms, then the presence of filamentous in chemotrophic zones may be an indicator of nitrogen limitation, with biofilms producing copious exopolysaccharides and depositing silica in order to increase their exposure to limited resources as aqueous solutes or particulates. The discovery of N fixation at temperatures as high as 92°C in deep-sea hydrothermal vents [Mehta and Baross, 2006] should also provide a cautionary reminder that there may be other factors that may limit nitrogen fixation in terrestrial systems.
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